

**LATINO CANDIDATES AND RACIAL BLOCK VOTING
IN PRIMARY AND JUDICIAL ELECTIONS**

**An Analysis of Voting in
Los Angeles County Board Districts**

**2006 Democratic Primary Election
& L.A. County Superior Court Election
[June 6, 2006]**

**SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT TO
THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CHICANO EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION**

Report By:

Matt A. Barreto, Ph.D.

February 2007

**DO NOT CITE WITHOUT PERMISSION OF:
THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CHICANO EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION**

**RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS CONDUCTED BY:
MATT A. BARRETO & NATHAN D. WOODS
© 2007. B&W CONSULTING. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.**

**PLEASE CONTACT: 909.489.2955
8807 17TH AVENUE NE
SEATTLE, WA 98115**

* The report author wishes to thank Jeff Sato, Jon Leung and Nathan Woods for their assistance with importing the data into the correct format, without which this analysis would not have been possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE AVAILABLE DATA.....	2
III. APPROACH TO THE ANALYSIS	3
IV. SUMMARY OF RESULTS.....	5
A. CORRELATIONS ACROSS PRECINCTS	5
B. HOMOGENOUS PRECINCT T-TESTS.....	6
C. GRAPHICAL PRESENTATION: SCATTER PLOTS	9
D. KINGS' ECOLOGICAL INFERENCE & GOODMAN'S REGRESSION	16
V. ELECTABILITY OF LATINO CANDIDATES	18
VI. CONCLUSIONS.....	23
APPENDIX I: HOMOGENOUS PRECINCTS COMPLETE LISTING	25
APPENDIX II: ELECTABILITY UNDER 2001 LACCEA PLAN.....	47

INTRODUCTION

We were retained by the Los Angeles County Chicano Employees Association (LACCEA) to examine whether or not evidence of racially polarized voting patterns existed in Los Angeles County that prevented Latino candidates from winning election outside of the 1st Supervisorial District, currently held by Latina Gloria Molina. In this particular study, we look at the 2006 Democratic Primary election and the 2006 Superior Court Primary election and examine the support received by seven Latino candidates. In previous reports, we focused on Districts 3, 4 and 5 and examined a series of elections spanning the period 1994-2003. The focus of this inquiry is the issue of whether or not Latinos vote differently from non-Latinos in Los Angeles County Board of Supervisor Districts and whether or not Latinos are electable in LACCEA's alternatively configured District 3.

In *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 US 30 (1986) the Supreme Court interpreted Section 2 of the recently amended Voting Rights Act (1965), making the existence of polarized voting one of three elements necessary to prove the dilution of minority voting. In *Gingles*, the now familiar definition of racially polarized voting was framed as occurring when there is a “consistent relationship between race of a voter and the way in which the voter votes.” Put simply, racially polarized voting occurs when minority and non-minority voters, considered separately, would have elected different candidates to office. A second element contained within the *Gingles* standard is, in a sense, implicit to this inquiry as well – whether or not the minority group in question constitutes a “politically cohesive unit.” If Latinos did not behave as a cohesive unit at the polls, evidence of racially polarized voting on the part of non-Latinos would be difficult to find.¹

In this report, we examine a single election – the 2006 primary – and demonstrate the degree of polarized voting in three of the Los Angeles County Supervisorial Districts. In so doing, we can also assess the extent to which Latinos may be considered a politically cohesive unit in the district. Our report is organized into several sections, and follows much the same pattern as our earlier examinations of polarized voting. Following

¹ We took up the question of whether the Latino population was sufficient to create an additional district where Latinos as a group would have the ability to elect candidates of choice (the first *Gingles* “prong”) in an earlier report entitled “Anticipating Latino Voting Proclivity Under Proposed San Gabriel Valley District 3”. This earlier report also delves into some of the historical context and provides some relevant background to redistricting in Los Angeles County.

this introduction, we next review the data we used in conducting our analyses and making our determinations. Third, we detail our general approach and the methods we employ. Fourth, we present several summary tables of our results, using each methodological approach, across each election year and specific contest. We conclude briefly in summarizing what we think our results demonstrate concerning the degree to which voting may be characterized as racially polarized.

THE AVAILABLE DATA

All the electoral data we use in the subsequent analysis is drawn from the Los Angeles County Registrar's Statement of the Vote for the June 6, 2006 Statewide Primary Election. We merged the relevant information for Latino Voting Age population from the US Census to each precinct. Unlike the data in our prior reports on this subject, the 2006 data are organized at the precinct level rather than RDU unit. Previously we used data provided by the County as part of their redistricting kit, which was organized at the RDU unit. In this case, we used aggregate precinct level data (canvass) purchased from the County Registrar Recorder. For the first four candidates, only Democratic voters are examined. For the final three judicial candidates, all voters in the districts are considered.

Candidate	Office	Election
Liz Figueroa	Lieutenant Governor	Democratic Primary
Deborah Ortiz	Secretary of State	Democratic Primary
Rocky Delgadillo	Attorney General	Democratic Primary
Cruz Bustamante	Insurance Commissioner	Democratic Primary
Deborah Sanchez	Justice, Position No. 8	Superior Court Judge
John C. Gutierrez	Justice, Position No. 18	Superior Court Judge
Maria Rivas Hamar	Justice, Position No. 144	Superior Court Judge

APPROACH TO THE ANALYSIS

Because we do not have information concerning the vote choice of individual voters, we undertake an analytical approach that allows us to reliably estimate racially polarized voting using aggregate data. Individual level data could only be obtained were race/ethnicity indicators to be included on a person's ballot (in California it is not), or if survey data were readily available (in this case they are not). Without such information we employ a variety of statistical methods that make it possible for us to infer from aggregate level information how individuals within given political sub-units have voted, and how Latinos may have voted differently from non-Latinos.

We use a number of methods, categorized into four sections of summary results to examine the issue of racial polarization in the County. Each has been used in several previous cases², and, as such have passed Court muster in a variety settings. These methods produce both statistical estimates of the level of support for the seven different Latino candidates, and a graphical representation as well. We use this wide array of approaches to comport with the spirit contained within one expert's advice (Grofman 2000), which recommended "making use of the full range of available techniques" in an effort to guard as closely as possible against errors in interpretation. The first method (1) is simply the examination of a series of bivariate correlations between proportions of voter preference for the Latino candidate and the proportion of relevant Latino population within the same precinct. This is meant primarily to be an instructive device – as the presence of high, and statistically significant correlations suggests, but may not be in isolation, conclusive evidence of racially polarized voting. Nonetheless, consistently *positive* correlations between the proportion of Latino voters and vote preference for Latino candidates, resulting in by definition a *negative* correlations between the proportion of non-Latino voters and votes for the Latino candidates provides evidence of polarization.

In a second approach (2), we use a "homogenous precincts" style analysis and look specifically at precincts where the percentage of Latino registrants are at or above 70% of the precinct's total registered

² These include, but are not limited to, *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 US 30 (1986), *Ruiz v. City of Santa Maria*, 160 F.3d 543 (9th Cir. 1998), *Gomez v. City of Watsonville* (9th Cir. 1988) 863 F.2d 1407.

population, or, in the case of or non-Latinos, 90%.³ Comparing the voting preferences of the most heavily Latino populated areas with the most heavily non-Latino populated areas gives some indication as to what the difference between the two groups of voters may be, and is a common first step in any analysis of this kind. By comparing these two types of precincts, we can limit the problems associated with inferring from aggregate level data, and in a straightforward manner determine polarized voting because nearly all the registered voters are of one group or the other. In general, results indicating that the two types of precincts are dramatically different from one another in the support they grant Latino candidates and issues provides further evidence of polarization in the County.

Our third approach (3) is a graphical presentation that maps out the vote choice and percentage Latino population of each and every precinct within a given district. This allows the reader to easily determine whether or not differences exist between Latino and non-Latino precincts by comparing the left and right side of the scatter plot. Further, by mapping out the vote results for all precincts, we can judge the consistency or inconsistency of the Latino vote, and whether or not any “outlier” precincts exists. Consistent differences between Latinos and non-Latinos in the levels of support demonstrated here augment similar findings that emerge through the correlations and homogenous precinct analysis.

Our fourth approach (4) to the issue of polarized voting uses a variety of techniques made possible through King’s method of ecological inference, which offers another methodological approach to overcoming ecological data problems (see King 1997). In this, our last set of results (found in the Summary Results section below), we also provide estimates of polarization derived from Goodman’s ecological regression model so that the estimates derived from King’s MLE procedure might be readily compared with this more commonly utilized tool for determining polarization. If these two estimates are consistent with each other then any implications derived from them may be considered to be more substantial.

³ This is slightly different from what we did in our earlier reports. We use an 80% threshold since, because of the precinct consolidation in the Recall election, there were so few precincts with 90% Latino populations.

In addition to the summary tables presented below which contain the substantive results from each of the methods just described, we have also provided an appendix which includes the actual data underlying the estimates we report. We encourage the reader to review these various diagnostics in addition to the summaries provided, as they may help to flesh out the relationships we see in the data. It is important to note from the outset that there is often no “silver bullet” in analyses of polarization. Here, we have endeavored to look at the issue in Los Angeles County’s Board of Supervisor Districts through as many available lenses as possible. For this reason, we have included a great deal of summary estimates of the degree to which polarized voting appears, as well as the full data for racially homogenous precincts found in the Appendix 1. If a consistent set of results shows up across the various methods employed here, then, in our view, the conclusions we derive become substantially more reliable than if we were to report the results of a single method in isolation.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

As we noted above, our first line of inquiry was focused on determining, through simple correlation analysis, whether or not the data for the three Status Quo districts indicated any degree of polarized voting between Latinos and non-Latinos.

Bivariate Correlations between Ethnicity and Proportion in Support of Latino Candidates

We correlate the proportion of the precinct that is Latino with the proportion supporting the Latino candidate. In general, the two may be positively correlated, negatively correlated, or be completely unrelated to one another. The larger the correlation coefficient becomes, the more robust the relationship between the variables in question (whether negative or positive). The values in parentheses found just below the correlation coefficient are p-values. Here, p-values of .0000 indicate that the correlation between two variables cannot be due to chance – that is, the relationship between the two is real and statistically significant. Finally, while the correlations reported are for percent Latino and candidate preference, the relationship between percent non-Latino and candidate preference is simply the inverse of that reported in Table 1 if in fact the correlation is statistically significant.

Table 1 Correlation between Percent Latino and Vote for Latino Candidate Los Angeles County: by County Supervisor District			
Candidate	District 3	District 4	District 5
Figueroa	0.8869 (.0000)	0.7982 (.0000)	0.6998 (.0000)
Ortiz	0.8781 (.0000)	0.7958 (.0000)	0.6661 (.0000)
Delgadillo	0.8682 (.0000)	0.8063 (.0000)	0.6460 (.0000)
Bustamante	0.5381 (.0000)	0.5466 (.0000)	0.4014 (.0000)
Sanchez	0.8409 (.0000)	0.8216 (.0000)	0.7198 (.0000)
Gutierrez	0.8794 (.0000)	0.8572 (.0000)	0.7619 (.0000)
Rivas Hamar	0.8622 (.0000)	0.8220 (.0000)	0.7528 (.0000)

Table 1 presents the results for all seven Latino candidates in status quo Supervisor Districts 3, 4, and 5. In this table, the strength and statistical significance of the relationship between the Latino population in a precinct and preference for the Latino candidate becomes immediately apparent. The correlations are consistently strong and significant, showing that, as the proportion of a precinct becomes more Latino, support for Latino candidates increases. Stated differently, as a p becomes less Latino in population, the proportion of votes going to Latino candidates greatly diminishes. It should be stated that the correlations are very robust for the 2006 election. A correlation of 1.0 would represent perfect collinearity where every single Latino voted for a Latino candidate while not a single non-Latino voted for the Latino candidate. Thus, the correlation coefficients reported in Table 1 in the range of .75, .80, to .85 suggest a very high degree of racially polarized voting.

Examining Homogenous Precincts

This method is probably the simplest method for examining polarized voting. We use precincts within each district that are either 90% non-Latino (or greater) or 70% Latino (or greater) and compare the two against each other. Because of the smaller Latino population in District 5 there are very few districts that are 70%

Latino or greater to conduct homogenous analysis, creating too little variance for reliable standard errors. Although we do report results for district 5, it is important to keep in mind that they are based on a very small sample. For districts 3 and 4, there is a large enough sample and we have full confidence in the results. The ease with which this sort of comparison can be made, indeed without resorting to statistics of any kind, make this a logical precursor to more sophisticated methods of analysis. A downside to this sort of analysis is the availability of precincts that are sufficiently homogenous to be compared. Also, depending on the political jurisdiction in question, there may be some issue with assuming the voting patterns in more heterogeneous precincts will reflect what we see in the homogenous ones.

Our analysis takes two forms. The first, just below, are a series of t-tests that statistically measure the difference between the two types of precincts in the level of support granted for each of the seven Latino candidates. A benefit to this sort of analysis is that we report the mean (or average) support within each type of homogenous precinct, the difference, and associated standard errors, which allow for a determination of whether the levels of support are statistically discernable from each other. The second is found in Appendix 1 and is actually a complete listing of each precinct, the proportion of the population that is either Latino or non-Latino, and the support for each candidate. Also found in this list is a name for the geographic area in which the precinct is located to facilitate understanding where exactly in each District these precincts are found.

Table 2A
T-Test Difference in Mean Support for Latino Candidates
Homogenous Precincts, Los Angeles County Supervisor District 3

Candidate	Prec. 90%		Prec. 70%		Difference
	Non-Latino	(S.E.)	Latino	(S.E.)	
Figueroa	8.8%	0.002	50.4%	0.013	-41.6%
Ortiz	23.9%	0.003	74.9%	0.011	-51.0%
Delgadillo	27.9%	0.003	84.0%	0.009	-56.1%
Bustamante	70.6%	0.003	89.8%	0.008	-19.2%
Sanchez	25.3%	0.003	69.2%	0.011	-43.9%
Gutierrez	18.4%	0.003	62.4%	0.013	-44.0%
Rivas Hamar	9.3%	0.002	39.5%	0.012	-30.2%

Table 2A summarizes the result for all seven Latino candidates in District 3. These differences are fairly large and they are statistically discernable from one another as well (beyond six standard deviations). With the exception of Cruz Bustamante, who had no real opposition in the primary, there is consistent evidence of racial block voting in District 3. Latino precincts voted overwhelmingly in favor of the candidates, while non-Latino precincts voted against each Latino candidate (minus Bustamante). The largest difference is for the Delgadillo candidacy for Attorney General, where he won 28 percent of the non-Latino vote compared to 84 percent of the Latino vote, a difference of 56 percentage points. In addition, for the judicial elections, all three Latino candidates were ranked as the number one choice by Latinos, and never ranked either one or two by non-Latinos in District 3. Results for District 4 are presented in Table 2B and District 5 are presented in Table 2C. Both demonstrate a similar pattern of statistically significant racially-polarized voting between Latinos and non-Latinos in Los Angeles County, for both the Democratic Primary and Superior Court elections.

Table 2B
T-Test Difference in Mean Support for Latino Candidates
Homogenous Precincts, Los Angeles County Supervisor District 4

Candidate	Prec. 90%		Prec. 70%		Difference
	Non-Latino	(S.E.)	Latino	(S.E.)	
Figueroa	11.0%	0.003	53.5%	0.031	-42.5%
Ortiz	22.5%	0.005	71.3%	0.028	-48.8%
Delgadillo	32.9%	0.005	84.4%	0.016	-51.5%
Bustamante	66.5%	0.004	89.9%	0.011	-23.4%
Sanchez	31.8%	0.004	75.9%	0.021	-44.1%
Gutierrez	17.7%	0.003	64.8%	0.018	-47.1%
Rivas Hamar	7.6%	0.002	44.4%	0.022	-36.8%

Table 2C
T-Test Difference in Mean Support for Latino Candidates
Homogenous Precincts, Los Angeles County Supervisor District 5

Candidate	Prec. 90%		Prec. 70%		Difference
	Non-Latino	(S.E.)	Latino	(S.E.)	
Figueroa	11.5%	0.003	48.0%	0.000	-36.5%
Ortiz	33.3%	0.005	71.0%	0.000	-37.7%
Delgadillo	35.8%	0.005	79.0%	0.000	-43.2%
Bustamante	65.9%	0.004	89.0%	0.000	-23.1%
Sanchez	31.8%	0.003	74.0%	0.000	-42.2%
Gutierrez	19.6%	0.003	60.0%	0.000	-40.4%
Rivas Hamar	7.8%	0.002	42.0%	0.000	-34.2%





Graphical Presentation of the Data: Scatter plots

Building on the homogenous precinct analysis reported above, we now detail the full range of votes that each candidate received, based on the Latino population within each precinct. We present these findings through a “map” of where each precinct lies on a simple X-Y scatter plot. The Y axis represents the percent of the vote going to the Latino candidate, while the X axis represents the percent of the voting-age population that is Latino within each precinct. This analysis offers a graphic presentation to the reader and allows us to assess two important characteristics of racial block voting. First, are there any outliers? That is, the means and coefficients reported here are akin to averages, and could hide precincts that do not conform to the overall observed behavior. Second, how similar to one another are the Latino (or non-Latino) precincts? Are they neatly arranged around similar point estimates close to one another, or are they “all over the map?”




The scatter plots clearly demonstrate that a strong and linear relationship exists between Latino population and votes in favor of the Latino candidates. This pattern is obvious for both the Democratic candidates and the non-partisan judicial candidates. As the Latino population within a precinct increases – from left to right on the X axis – the percentage of the vote won by the Latino candidate grows. This trend is consistent for all seven Latino candidates, across all three districts. Thus, in 21 separate analyses in different geographies across Los Angeles County, we find non-Latinos voting against a variety of Latino candidates, while Latinos uniformly vote in support of them.

Polarized voting is most clear in status quo District 3, although it is evident in the other two districts as well. In District 3, heavily Latino precincts are clustered near each other, showing strong support for the Latino candidates, with no instances of outliers (meaning no Latino precincts ever voted against the Latino candidates). This suggests that Latino voters do prefer descriptive representation, even within the Democratic primary election. Further, the non-Latino precincts also tend to cluster together in opposition to the Latino candidates. The only exception is the Bustamante candidacy, where no viable opposing candidate existed. The most notable examples of racial block voting are the Delgadillo and Gutierrez elections, both of which demonstrate a clear linear relationship between race and vote choice in Los Angeles County.





Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 3






Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 3







Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 4






Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 4



Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 5



Scatterplots: Vote for Latino candidate by percent Latino within precinct – District 5



Kings' Ecological Inference & Goodman's Regression

Gary King's 1997 book and the programming package that accompanies it are an effort to solve some of the more persistent problems associated with estimating individual level behavior from aggregate level information. The summary statistics produced by the program are included in the next sequence of tables, along with estimates of support based upon Leo Goodman's (1953) regression. In both cases, the columns headed with "Beta B" indicate the estimated proportion of Latino support for the Latino candidate in each district listed to the left hand side. "Beta W" on the other hand, is the estimate of non-Latino support. Both can be interpreted as percentage of the vote won. While both the King and Goodman techniques are estimated similarly, King's analysis software using a bounding method that prevents estimates from going above 100 or below 0 percent of the vote.

As should be immediately clear, in the 2006 Primary Election all sets of estimates are very similar. Under both the King and Goodman approaches, the election shows quite a bit of polarized voting. For all seven contests, in each of the three districts, the Latino candidate was clearly the most preferred candidate among Latino voters and was not the preferred candidate among non-Latinos.

**Table 3A: Ecological Inference and Ecological Regression
Estimated Vote for Latino Candidates, Status Quo District 3**

Candidate	King		Goodman	
	Beta B	Beta W	Beta B	Beta W
Figueroa	.7518	.0812	.7733	.0608
Ortiz	.8492	.2195	.8662	.2059
Delgadillo	.8881	.2561	.8902	.2429
Bustamante	.9123	.6728	.9055	.6912
Sanchez	.7522	.2311	.7601	.2410
Gutierrez	.7117	.1799	.7085	.2012
Rivas Hamar	.4602	.0704	.4477	.0864

**Table 3B: Ecological Inference and Ecological Regression
Estimated Vote for Latino Candidates, Status Quo District 4**

Candidate	King		Goodman	
	Beta B	Beta W	Beta B	Beta W
Figueroa	.6010	.1287	.6178	.1133
Ortiz	.7734	.2042	.7834	.2100
Delgadillo	.9123	.2994	.9023	.2843
Bustamante	.9206	.6050	.9334	.5993
Sanchez	.8118	.3030	.8223	.3173
Gutierrez	.7256	.1594	.7373	.1490
Rivas Hamar	.4898	.0802	.4781	.0987

**Table 3C: Ecological Inference and Ecological Regression
Estimated Vote for Latino Candidates, Status Quo District 3**

Candidate	King		Goodman	
	Beta B	Beta W	Beta B	Beta W
Figueroa	.5789	.1012	.5819	.1198
Ortiz	.7583	.2983	.7610	.2815
Delgadillo	.8733	.3201	.8814	.3028
Bustamante	.9255	.6211	.9153	.6208
Sanchez	.7838	.2975	.7930	.2857
Gutierrez	.6833	.2002	.6901	.2009
Rivas Hamar	.4773	.0983	.4815	.0834

The ecological inference and ecological regression analysis found in table 3 is perhaps the most rigorous, and also the most clear substantiation of racially polarized voting in Los Angeles County. Consistent with previous analysis from 1994 – 2003, we find significant and abundant evidence of racial block voting in 2006 across all three supervisor districts in question. The estimates reveal that Latino voters consistently favored the Latino candidates.

ELECTABILITY OF LATINO CANDIDATES

The evidence presented above demonstrates a clear pattern of racially polarized voting in Status Quo Supervisor Districts 3, 4, and 5. Through four methods of analysis, the results show that Latino voters are attempting to elect Latino candidates, while non-Latino voters are systematically voting against such candidates. Racial block voting is only half of the story though. A successful case must also prove that Latino candidates are indeed electable in the alternative demonstration districts. Here, we provide a summary review of how each of the seven Latino candidates fared in the five Status Quo districts as compared to the five LACCEA demonstration districts. The percentages are derived by summing the total number of votes each candidate won in each precinct by Supervisor district. In particular, the reader should focus on the percent of the vote won by Latino candidates in the existing Supervisor Districts 3, 4, and 5 as compared to LACCEA demonstration District 3 – the second potential Latino district.

Table 4 reveals two important patterns. First, comparing the current Latino district in the Status Quo and LACCEA plan, Latino candidates are consistently favored throughout District 1. The only candidate who did not “win” was Figueroa, gaining 38.7 percent in the Status Quo district and 36.9 in the LACCEA district. Her opponent, Garamendi, had much higher name recognition, considerably more campaign funds, and strong ties to the Latino community as Insurance Commissioner, and also while serving in the State Assembly and State Senate. In comparison Figueroa only won 22 percent of the vote countywide, so her showing in District 1 was considerably better. Looking to table 5, the remaining six Latino candidates were each the first place candidate in both Status Quo District 1 and LACCEA District 1 suggesting that Latino electability in the first district is not diminished under the LACCEA proposal.

The second important finding in Table 4 is that LACCEA District 3 proves a second Latino district can exist. Table 4 shows that as compared to Status Quo Districts 3, 4, and 5, all seven Latino candidates won significantly more votes in LACCEA District 3. For example, Ortiz, Delgadillo and Sanchez all received at least 20 points more support in LACCEA District 3 than in the Status Quo. Further, looking to Table 5, with the

Table 4. Percent Vote Won by Latino Candidates in June 2006
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

Status Quo Supervisor District # 1				LACCEA Supervisor District # 1			
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Figueroa *	38.7%	3.3%	75.9%	Figueroa *	36.9%	6.2%	70.9%
Ortiz	63.6%	20.7%	88.2%	Ortiz	54.7%	20.3%	88.2%
Delgadillo	68.8%	16.0%	93.8%	Delgadillo	64.8%	16.1%	93.8%
Bustamante	82.7%	55.6%	97.1%	Bustamante	81.0%	54.2%	97.1%
Sanchez	62.5%	11.6%	86.8%	Sanchez	57.9%	18.4%	86.8%
Gutierrez +	51.2%	14.7%	74.0%	Gutierrez +	44.4%	5.0%	74.0%
Rivas Hamar +	32.9%	3.1%	59.5%	Rivas Hamar +	31.9%	4.2%	60.3%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 2				LACCEA Supervisor District # 2			
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Figueroa *	24.8%	2.9%	67.5%	Figueroa *	24.4%	2.9%	66.7%
Ortiz	32.0%	2.0%	81.1%	Ortiz	32.7%	2.0%	81.9%
Delgadillo	53.0%	16.8%	92.1%	Delgadillo	53.0%	18.8%	93.8%
Bustamante	78.4%	32.6%	96.3%	Bustamante	77.5%	32.6%	96.3%
Sanchez	35.9%	7.0%	86.2%	Sanchez	36.3%	7.0%	82.4%
Gutierrez +	25.5%	1.5%	82.7%	Gutierrez +	25.3%	1.5%	82.7%
Rivas Hamar +	17.0%	1.0%	61.7%	Rivas Hamar +	16.4%	1.0%	61.7%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 3				LACCEA Supervisor District # 3			
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Figueroa *	16.9%	1.1%	60.9%	Figueroa *	30.7%	2.5%	75.9%
Ortiz	33.5%	7.1%	82.4%	Ortiz	58.2%	12.8%	87.7%
Delgadillo	39.3%	8.2%	92.3%	Delgadillo	61.5%	16.0%	91.8%
Bustamante	72.5%	50.0%	94.2%	Bustamante	76.6%	42.9%	97.1%
Sanchez	33.9%	5.1%	77.6%	Sanchez	53.9%	11.6%	84.5%
Gutierrez +	26.6%	2.4%	72.5%	Gutierrez +	43.0%	9.9%	74.1%
Rivas Hamar +	14.8%	1.3%	48.6%	Rivas Hamar +	24.5%	2.7%	56.3%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 4				LACCEA Supervisor District # 4			
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Figueroa *	20.1%	1.6%	68.8%	Figueroa *	12.7%	1.1%	52.2%
Ortiz	37.6%	4.2%	83.8%	Ortiz	27.7%	4.2%	74.5%
Delgadillo	47.5%	11.9%	93.8%	Delgadillo	35.7%	8.2%	80.0%
Bustamante	70.1%	44.8%	96.1%	Bustamante	68.7%	44.8%	93.8%
Sanchez	42.1%	12.2%	82.7%	Sanchez	32.1%	5.1%	74.0%
Gutierrez +	27.2%	5.0%	74.1%	Gutierrez +	21.1%	2.4%	60.0%
Rivas Hamar +	15.5%	1.1%	54.4%	Rivas Hamar +	10.2%	1.1%	42.0%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 5				LACCEA Supervisor District # 5			
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Min</u>	<u>Max</u>
Figueroa *	17.8%	1.9%	68.8%	Figueroa *	19.7%	1.3%	60.9%
Ortiz	40.5%	4.2%	80.0%	Ortiz	41.0%	4.2%	82.4%
Delgadillo	45.1%	10.5%	83.9%	Delgadillo	46.5%	10.5%	92.3%
Bustamante	68.3%	36.8%	97.1%	Bustamante	70.0%	36.8%	96.2%
Sanchez	38.6%	16.2%	74.0%	Sanchez	39.5%	14.6%	77.6%
Gutierrez +	25.9%	4.0%	62.8%	Gutierrez +	27.2%	4.0%	72.5%
Rivas Hamar +	13.0%	0.9%	50.0%	Rivas Hamar +	14.9%	0.9%	50.0%

* There were three total candidates in Democratic Lt. Gov primary election

+ There were six total candidates in the Gutierrez election and seven total candidates in the Rivas Hamar election

Table 5. Placement of Latino Candidates in June 2006 Election
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

Status Quo Supervisor District # 1			LACCEA Supervisor District # 1		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>		<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	39%		Figueroa	37%
	Garamendi	46%		Garamendi	43%
	Speier	16%		Speier	20%
Sec. State	Ortiz	64%		Ortiz	55%
	Bowen	36%		Bowen	45%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	69%		Delgadillo	65%
	Brown	31%		Brown	35%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	83%		Bustamante	81%
	Kraft	17%		Kraft	19%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	62%		Sanchez	58%
	Friedenthal	19%		Friedenthal	21%
	Henry	18%		Henry	21%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	51%		Gutierrez	44%
	Crawford	7%		Crawford	8%
	Feldman	4%		Feldman	5%
	Loomis	7%		Loomis	7%
	Mitchell	9%		Mitchell	10%
	Nixon	21%		Nixon	25%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	33%		Rivas Hamar	32%
	Barquist	13%		Barquist	13%
	Beecher	8%		Beecher	8%
	Hammock	10%		Hammock	9%
	Layton	4%		Layton	4%
	Nison	13%		Nison	13%
	Stuart	19%		Stuart	20%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 2			LACCEA Supervisor District # 2		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>		<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	25%		Figueroa	24%
	Garamendi	54%		Garamendi	54%
	Speier	21%		Speier	21%
Sec. State	Ortiz	32%		Ortiz	33%
	Bowen	68%		Bowen	67%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	53%		Delgadillo	53%
	Brown	47%		Brown	47%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	78%		Bustamante	78%
	Kraft	22%		Kraft	22%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	36%		Sanchez	36%
	Friedenthal	40%		Friedenthal	40%
	Henry	24%		Henry	24%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	26%		Gutierrez	25%
	Crawford	8%		Crawford	9%
	Feldman	6%		Feldman	6%
	Loomis	6%		Loomis	6%
	Mitchell	9%		Mitchell	9%
	Nixon	45%		Nixon	44%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	17%		Rivas Hamar	16%
	Barquist	11%		Barquist	11%
	Beecher	8%		Beecher	8%
	Hammock	7%		Hammock	7%
	Layton	5%		Layton	5%
	Nison	16%		Nison	17%
	Stuart	36%		Stuart	35%

Table 5. Placement of Latino Candidates in June 2006 Election
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

Status Quo Supervisor District # 3			LACCEA Supervisor District # 3		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>		<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	17%		Figueroa	31%
	Garamendi	53%		Garamendi	52%
	Speier	30%		Speier	17%
Sec. State	Ortiz	34%		Ortiz	58%
	Bowen	66%		Bowen	42%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	39%		Delgadillo	62%
	Brown	61%		Brown	38%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	73%		Bustamante	77%
	Kraft	27%		Kraft	23%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	34%		Sanchez	54%
	Friedenthal	23%		Friedenthal	24%
	Henry	42%		Henry	21%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	27%		Gutierrez	43%
	Crawford	12%		Crawford	10%
	Feldman	4%		Feldman	5%
	Loomis	6%		Loomis	7%
	Mitchell	17%		Mitchell	9%
	Nixon	34%		Nixon	27%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	15%		Rivas Hamar	24%
	Barquist	11%		Barquist	13%
	Beecher	9%		Beecher	8%
	Hammock	9%		Hammock	10%
	Layton	5%		Layton	4%
	Nison	25%		Nison	16%
	Stuart	26%		Stuart	23%

Status Quo Supervisor District # 4			LACCEA Supervisor District # 4		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>		<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	20%		Figueroa	13%
	Garamendi	57%		Garamendi	58%
	Speier	23%		Speier	29%
Sec. State	Ortiz	38%		Ortiz	28%
	Bowen	62%		Bowen	72%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	48%		Delgadillo	36%
	Brown	52%		Brown	64%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	70%		Bustamante	69%
	Kraft	30%		Kraft	31%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	42%		Sanchez	32%
	Friedenthal	30%		Friedenthal	27%
	Henry	28%		Henry	41%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	27%		Gutierrez	21%
	Crawford	15%		Crawford	15%
	Feldman	5%		Feldman	5%
	Loomis	8%		Loomis	7%
	Mitchell	9%		Mitchell	14%
	Nixon	35%		Nixon	38%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	16%		Rivas Hamar	10%
	Barquist	11%		Barquist	11%
	Beecher	10%		Beecher	9%
	Hammock	12%		Hammock	10%
	Layton	5%		Layton	5%
	Nison	19%		Nison	25%
	Stuart	27%		Stuart	29%

Table 5. Placement of Latino Candidates in June 2006 Election
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

Status Quo Supervisor District # 5			LACCEA Supervisor District # 5		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>		<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	18%		Figueroa	20%
	Garamendi	57%		Garamendi	54%
	Speier	25%		Speier	26%
Sec. State	Ortiz	41%		Ortiz	41%
	Bowen	59%		Bowen	59%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	45%		Delgadillo	47%
	Brown	55%		Brown	53%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	68%		Bustamante	70%
	Kraft	32%		Kraft	30%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	39%		Sanchez	40%
	Friedenthal	31%		Friedenthal	30%
	Henry	30%		Henry	31%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	26%		Gutierrez	27%
	Crawford	14%		Crawford	14%
	Feldman	5%		Feldman	5%
	Loomis	8%		Loomis	8%
	Mitchell	9%		Mitchell	10%
	Nixon	38%		Nixon	36%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	13%		Rivas Hamar	15%
	Barquist	13%		Barquist	13%
	Beecher	9%		Beecher	8%
	Hammock	11%		Hammock	11%
	Layton	4%		Layton	4%
	Nison	21%		Nison	21%
	Stuart	29%		Stuart	27%

exception of Figueroa, the remaining six Latino candidates each finished as the top vote getter in District 3, providing further support that Latino candidates are electable in the LACCEA demonstration District 3. The re-aggregated election results for the seven Latino candidates strongly demonstrate that they each did significantly better in LACCEA's alternative Board of Supervisor's District 3 as compared to their percentages in the current Supervisor Districts 3, 4 and 5. Latino candidates won outright in four contests, and were in first place in two runoff elections, in the LACCEA District 3. Further, Latino candidates remain readily electable in the LACCEA alternative District 1, therefore providing two districts with a majority Latino population and the propensity to elect a Latino candidate to office.

CONCLUSIONS

We have offered several different approaches that each tell a remarkably similar story about the degree to which polarized voting exists in Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors Districts. Recall that, paraphrasing Justice Brennan's opinion in *Gingles*, racially polarized voting can be identified as occurring when there is a consistent relationship between the race of a voter and the way in which she votes. In this case, there is a clear and consistent pattern; Latinos always preferred Latino candidates while non-Latinos did not. Under every different method we have employed here, this pattern remains robust and consistent. These results demonstrate that not only are Latinos politically cohesive in their support of Latino candidates in Los Angeles County, but also that non-Latinos vote consistently against Latino candidates in 2006. While our previous reports have demonstrated this pattern during the 1990s, the findings reported here clearly show that the pattern of racial block voting against Latino candidates continues to exist into the 21st century. Finally, the electability analysis clearly shows that a Latino candidate should be favored to win in LACCEA's Board of Supervisor District 3 if their alternative plan is adopted by the Federal courts.

REFERENCES

- Arteaga, Luis. 2000. "Are Latinos Pro-Democrat or Anti-Republican? An Examination of Party Registration and Allegiance in the 2000 Election and Beyond." *The California Latino Vote 2000*. Latino Issues Forum.
- Barber, Mary Beth. 1994. "The Race for Insurance Commissioner." *The California Journal*. October.
- Bathen, Sigrid. 1998. "California Journal Analysis of the 1998 California Primary Races and Measures." *The California Journal*. May.
- Boyer, Edward. 2000. "Local Elections / County Assessor: With a Field of 16 Candidates, It's Anybody's Race." *The Los Angeles Times*. November 4.
- Gomez v. City of Watsonville* (9th Cir. 1988) 863 F.2d 1407.
- Grofman, Bernard M. "A Primer on Racial Bloc Voting Analysis" in Persily Ed. *The Real Y2K Problem: Census 2000 Data and Redistricting Technology*. Brennan Center for Justice, New York University School of Law.
- Grofman, Bernard N., Lisa Handley and Richard G. Niemi. 1992. *Minority Representation and the Quest for Voting Equality*. Cambridge University Press. New York.
- Handley, Lisa. 2002. "Voting Patterns by Race/Ethnicity in Arizona Congressional And Legislative Delegations" Preliminary Report.
- King, Gary. 1997. *A Solution to the Ecological Inference Problem: Reconstructing Individual Behavior from Aggregate Data*. Princeton University Press. Princeton New Jersey.
- Ruiz v. City of Santa Maria*, 160 F.3d 543 (9th Cir. 1998)
- Segura, Gary M., Denis Falcon, and Harry Pachon. 1997. "Dynamic of Latino Partisanship in California: Immigration, Issue Salience, and Their Implications." *Harvard Journal of Hispanic Politics*. 10:62-80
- Thornburg v. Gingles* 478 US 30 (1986)

**Appendix I: List of Homogenous Latino and non-Latino precincts
and vote results 2006 primary - LA County Supervisor Districts 3, 4, 5**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9000467	LOS ANGELES	70.0%	46.9%	64.6%	82.4%	81.8%	65.4%	57.1%	39.6%
3	9000382	LOS ANGELES	71.2%	49.1%	78.8%	85.0%	87.7%	68.7%	65.6%	40.4%
3	6050010	SAN FERNANDO	71.3%	49.4%	73.6%	77.5%	90.2%	75.2%	55.1%	40.8%
3	6050005	SAN FERNANDO	71.7%	41.4%	74.8%	77.9%	83.8%	66.7%	61.4%	42.5%
3	9000376	LOS ANGELES	72.3%	50.4%	73.6%	80.9%	88.3%	66.0%	52.8%	32.5%
3	9006224	LOS ANGELES	73.6%	43.4%	72.6%	84.9%	87.1%	62.3%	66.4%	32.3%
3	9000300	LOS ANGELES	74.0%	47.3%	71.4%	83.6%	86.4%	64.6%	61.6%	45.3%
3	9005666	LOS ANGELES	77.5%	60.5%	82.4%	86.1%	94.2%	74.5%	64.8%	48.6%
3	6050001	SAN FERNANDO	79.3%	51.4%	79.9%	84.5%	91.9%	77.6%	72.5%	39.2%
3	9000327	LOS ANGELES	80.0%	44.1%	81.3%	88.1%	93.2%	72.6%	64.4%	43.4%
3	6050002	SAN FERNANDO	80.3%	52.2%	70.3%	79.6%	86.6%	77.4%	66.4%	39.7%
3	9000328	LOS ANGELES	82.0%	54.1%	70.4%	82.7%	93.5%	70.3%	55.5%	39.1%
3	9000080	LOS ANGELES	82.1%	47.5%	77.6%	87.7%	94.2%	66.7%	71.4%	35.6%
3	9000070	LOS ANGELES	82.9%	55.8%	75.0%	89.2%	89.7%	67.4%	53.9%	41.7%
3	9000574	LOS ANGELES	83.1%	43.8%	64.5%	78.0%	84.8%	67.7%	65.4%	33.1%
3	9000391	LOS ANGELES	83.6%	47.8%	79.3%	92.3%	92.0%	63.4%	63.3%	34.2%
3	9000390	LOS ANGELES	83.7%	60.8%	73.1%	88.2%	93.1%	71.3%	63.8%	39.5%
3	9000079	LOS ANGELES	84.0%	52.6%	74.4%	84.8%	92.1%	69.3%	59.7%	9.3%
3	6050016	SAN FERNANDO	86.7%	55.3%	75.4%	81.9%	88.1%	64.4%	58.9%	44.6%
3	9000530	LOS ANGELES		23.5%	20.7%	40.0%	80.0%	32.4%	33.3%	17.6%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	5100011	PARAMOUNT	70.2%	68.8%	80.4%	85.4%	93.8%	79.2%	18.4%	52.0%
4	2650005	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	70.5%	48.0%	71.0%	79.0%	89.0%	74.0%	60.0%	42.0%
4	9002618	LOS ANGELES	71.7%	44.8%	64.3%	81.6%	84.1%	79.3%	59.7%	9.3%
4	9002636	LOS ANGELES	74.1%	51.6%	60.5%	85.6%	92.6%	76.6%	66.1%	50.0%
4	4800067	NORWALK	74.9%	56.7%	76.4%	83.0%	90.9%	82.6%	74.1%	39.2%
4	3900061	LOS NIETOS	79.6%	57.1%	78.8%	90.9%	88.6%	61.4%	67.4%	33.3%
4	9002633	LOS ANGELES	79.6%	40.8%	58.5%	92.8%	95.1%	75.4%	62.6%	46.0%
4	4800071	NORWALK	79.8%	65.7%	80.5%	82.2%	86.8%	81.2%	68.4%	50.7%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	1000006	CALABASAS	0.6%	16.7%	29.3%	26.3%	61.0%	21.6%	24.7%	7.2%
3	9001080	LOS ANGELES	1.4%	4.0%	23.0%	23.4%	70.2%	21.2%	24.8%	7.8%
3	9001369	LOS ANGELES	1.7%	10.7%	25.4%	27.3%	68.9%	19.4%	13.3%	6.3%
3	9001044	LOS ANGELES	1.7%	3.0%	21.1%	25.7%	56.4%	16.9%	16.3%	10.1%
3	9001354	LOS ANGELES	1.7%	3.8%	25.9%	21.3%	80.3%	17.5%	32.1%	6.9%
3	9001091	LOS ANGELES	1.8%	7.5%	29.4%	35.4%	69.5%	26.1%	11.1%	2.8%
3	900016	BEVERLY HILLS	2.0%	12.5%	33.3%	34.6%	76.7%	16.4%	14.3%	12.2%
3	9003431	LOS ANGELES	2.0%	5.7%	15.3%	25.0%	63.2%	13.2%	6.7%	4.7%
3	9001045	LOS ANGELES	2.0%	9.9%	21.7%	18.8%	65.9%	15.2%	9.3%	4.7%
3	9002900	LOS ANGELES	2.1%	3.8%	22.1%	31.4%	65.7%	16.7%	12.6%	3.3%
3	1000033	CALABASAS	2.1%	6.0%	24.1%	36.9%	59.3%	18.5%	18.4%	5.6%
3	9001391	LOS ANGELES	2.2%	8.3%	10.1%	17.2%	78.7%	25.0%	21.1%	11.7%
3	4060005	MALIBU HEIGHTS	2.3%	7.1%	28.0%	32.1%	72.0%	30.0%	18.4%	6.1%
3	9005923	LOS ANGELES	2.3%	7.5%	17.6%	20.3%	64.1%	22.6%	22.9%	7.6%
3	2780002	HIDDEN HILLS	2.3%	7.9%	34.0%	29.2%	66.1%	24.4%	10.6%	4.4%
3	9001356	LOS ANGELES	2.4%	9.3%	19.4%	17.5%	74.3%	21.2%	14.1%	12.0%
3	7100003	TOPANGA	2.5%	15.4%	21.2%	16.6%	73.9%	25.7%	30.6%	9.3%
3	7770011	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	2.5%	3.3%	11.4%	32.3%	50.0%	42.4%	3.3%	4.3%
3	9002899	LOS ANGELES	2.5%	3.5%	12.5%	21.3%	76.3%	5.1%	18.4%	9.3%
3	900046	BEVERLY HILLS	2.6%	3.8%	23.4%	20.8%	84.1%	19.2%	7.8%	12.0%
3	9001212	LOS ANGELES	2.6%	10.6%	20.5%	19.2%	73.7%	19.9%	17.3%	10.6%
3	1000075	CALABASAS	2.6%	10.5%	25.9%	28.7%	64.2%	36.9%	8.3%	6.8%
3	6250003	SANTA MONICA	2.6%	9.1%	21.7%	25.6%	65.2%	24.0%	14.2%	4.4%
3	9002230	LOS ANGELES	2.7%	9.0%	22.7%	33.0%	73.0%	24.8%	15.2%	2.9%
3	9001217	LOS ANGELES	2.7%	6.6%	12.5%	30.0%	70.6%	20.8%	17.3%	6.6%
3	9001037	LOS ANGELES	2.7%	8.9%	29.5%	40.9%	68.4%	24.5%	14.1%	9.8%
3	9005428	LOS ANGELES	2.7%	9.3%	22.8%	29.4%	74.0%	20.8%	18.4%	8.0%
3	7100001	TOPANGA	2.7%	7.8%	23.5%	19.2%	73.3%	26.8%	16.9%	14.0%
3	900036	BEVERLY HILLS	2.8%	2.6%	23.1%	27.7%	82.1%	9.6%	9.9%	8.5%
3	6250002	SANTA MONICA	2.8%	5.7%	22.3%	23.0%	67.2%	19.2%	15.9%	8.2%
3	900021	BEVERLY HILLS	2.8%	1.1%	18.2%	22.4%	72.8%	17.5%	20.2%	8.1%
3	80070	AGOURA HILLS	2.8%	7.4%	24.4%	30.2%	69.0%	29.5%	14.7%	5.9%
3	9001374	LOS ANGELES	2.8%	3.5%	17.4%	12.5%	75.6%	19.2%	19.1%	8.9%
3	9003206	LOS ANGELES	2.8%	14.8%	33.3%	34.5%	92.6%	25.5%	19.6%	8.7%
3	9006656	LOS ANGELES	2.8%	2.8%	19.3%	22.4%	54.8%	19.5%	20.2%	3.8%
3	9001177	LOS ANGELES	2.8%	4.3%	25.0%	37.6%	82.5%	14.4%	14.8%	8.0%
3	1000076	CALABASAS	2.8%	7.0%	19.8%	27.2%	68.4%	23.9%	13.8%	6.1%
3	9001266	LOS ANGELES	2.8%	2.8%	19.7%	21.3%	73.1%	17.1%	10.6%	8.6%
3	1000012	CALABASAS	2.9%	10.8%	22.2%	36.7%	73.9%	14.1%	13.5%	6.8%
3	80052	AGOURA HILLS	2.9%	3.7%	27.4%	46.9%	67.6%	30.6%	18.4%	10.9%
3	9001218	LOS ANGELES	2.9%	3.2%	20.0%	32.8%	83.6%	10.3%	8.8%	17.3%
3	9007975	LOS ANGELES	2.9%	7.2%	23.9%	35.3%	69.0%	23.3%	6.4%	3.4%
3	6250029	SANTA MONICA	2.9%	8.2%	19.1%	18.4%	77.1%	24.5%	18.4%	7.0%
3	9001379	LOS ANGELES	2.9%	9.9%	17.1%	21.5%	72.5%	25.2%	15.6%	10.2%
3	9001207	LOS ANGELES	2.9%	2.9%	16.7%	23.4%	71.1%	19.0%	14.1%	8.3%
3	9006500	LOS ANGELES	3.0%	6.5%	26.1%	24.2%	71.6%	14.9%	11.3%	2.1%
3	9001307	LOS ANGELES	3.0%	3.8%	17.7%	18.4%	75.4%	23.1%	13.0%	5.5%
3	9001361	LOS ANGELES	3.0%	7.7%	22.0%	23.1%	74.1%	15.5%	16.4%	8.8%
3	9005928	LOS ANGELES	3.0%	4.1%	17.3%	24.3%	72.6%	22.7%	16.7%	10.3%
3	9001366	LOS ANGELES	3.0%	6.3%	16.7%	27.7%	77.5%	12.0%	7.1%	8.7%
3	900005	BEVERLY HILLS	3.0%	10.8%	28.8%	32.1%	67.9%	17.2%	18.4%	6.3%
3	9001999	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	6.8%	25.4%	29.1%	67.5%	33.3%	19.8%	4.8%
3	9006651	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	9.0%	28.0%	33.3%	68.3%	30.2%	23.3%	5.4%
3	9007683	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	8.3%	24.6%	21.1%	72.3%	29.6%	19.7%	11.0%
3	9003197	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	5.2%	21.7%	18.6%	72.4%	26.2%	15.1%	7.1%
3	9001343	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	4.7%	21.6%	19.7%	79.5%	19.8%	21.9%	10.9%
3	900033	BEVERLY HILLS	3.1%	8.6%	22.6%	22.0%	76.9%	20.0%	15.0%	7.5%
3	9001074	LOS ANGELES	3.1%	4.4%	33.8%	31.3%	70.6%	21.6%	22.9%	8.6%
3	900041	BEVERLY HILLS	3.2%	4.7%	20.6%	33.0%	68.9%	12.6%	17.5%	9.6%
3	9001073	LOS ANGELES	3.2%	4.1%	24.3%	28.8%	74.3%	16.7%	18.5%	5.1%
3	6250128	SANTA MONICA	3.2%	7.5%	22.8%	14.5%	80.8%	26.1%	15.8%	11.1%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9005918	LOS ANGELES	3.2%	11.5%	21.8%	15.3%	68.5%	29.7%	14.7%	8.8%
3	900004	BEVERLY HILLS	3.2%	12.1%	12.5%	27.3%	68.8%	15.2%	18.4%	13.3%
3	9001103	LOS ANGELES	3.2%	3.6%	18.8%	29.3%	79.4%	21.5%	14.3%	10.4%
3	900012	BEVERLY HILLS	3.2%	9.5%	27.5%	21.7%	78.9%	31.3%	18.8%	4.7%
3	900037	BEVERLY HILLS	3.2%	5.9%	20.6%	32.8%	75.2%	14.7%	12.9%	8.5%
3	4050051	MALIBU	3.2%	14.0%	32.7%	24.1%	60.0%	23.6%	14.6%	9.3%
3	6250001	SANTA MONICA	3.2%	8.0%	18.9%	24.8%	69.5%	26.8%	11.8%	7.4%
3	9006663	LOS ANGELES	3.3%	3.6%	20.9%	23.7%	75.7%	25.4%	8.7%	1.7%
3	9006667	LOS ANGELES	3.3%	5.0%	22.5%	32.3%	65.1%	20.2%	16.2%	5.8%
3	9001358	LOS ANGELES	3.3%	7.8%	10.0%	23.5%	77.2%	9.8%	7.1%	2.5%
3	9001367	LOS ANGELES	3.3%	9.1%	20.3%	21.9%	57.7%	23.5%	10.7%	11.7%
3	7100004	TOPANGA	3.3%	9.3%	18.6%	10.8%	74.0%	33.6%	31.9%	9.3%
3	9001219	LOS ANGELES	3.3%	3.1%	16.7%	17.5%	81.8%	13.6%	18.0%	10.0%
3	9001355	LOS ANGELES	3.4%	10.6%	31.0%	26.2%	77.8%	26.1%	11.6%	9.3%
3	4050059	MALIBU	3.4%	12.7%	30.2%	21.7%	72.1%	37.0%	23.7%	14.7%
3	9001029	LOS ANGELES	3.4%	7.0%	23.5%	28.2%	59.1%	22.7%	17.3%	8.3%
3	7770030	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	3.4%	5.4%	19.8%	26.8%	67.7%	21.2%	16.8%	6.7%
3	80034	AGOURA HILLS	3.4%	3.7%	28.2%	39.6%	66.3%	35.2%	11.5%	9.3%
3	900001	BEVERLY HILLS	3.4%	2.8%	17.9%	28.2%	77.3%	15.0%	18.4%	5.0%
3	9007985	LOS ANGELES	3.4%	7.7%	14.7%	38.1%	56.0%	18.6%	18.4%	8.2%
3	9001306	LOS ANGELES	3.4%	6.8%	18.1%	22.4%	66.4%	13.8%	17.9%	6.4%
3	9006632	LOS ANGELES	3.4%	4.1%	20.5%	28.4%	53.7%	25.7%	16.3%	5.2%
3	9000567	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	5.3%	18.9%	13.6%	75.8%	25.2%	18.4%	10.4%
3	7760001	WEST HILLS	3.5%	2.6%	26.3%	28.8%	71.2%	16.5%	18.4%	6.6%
3	9007698	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	11.5%	22.1%	20.7%	64.0%	19.3%	10.0%	6.9%
3	900008	BEVERLY HILLS	3.5%	7.1%	14.0%	32.9%	59.4%	18.8%	14.0%	10.4%
3	9005826	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	2.2%	17.6%	18.4%	71.3%	9.8%	11.6%	10.2%
3	9003122	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	1.1%	16.4%	24.2%	59.5%	16.4%	10.7%	2.9%
3	9001127	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	8.5%	18.3%	22.6%	74.0%	23.1%	25.3%	6.6%
3	9005833	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	1.6%	30.6%	35.0%	82.8%	20.0%	18.4%	12.7%
3	9001329	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	8.3%	25.0%	29.1%	69.8%	20.7%	16.2%	5.9%
3	1000077	CALABASAS	3.5%	8.6%	18.9%	33.7%	66.7%	31.1%	11.3%	3.0%
3	9002231	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	9.9%	23.7%	29.7%	75.4%	35.5%	20.6%	9.3%
3	4050056	MALIBU	3.6%	18.1%	19.7%	8.3%	79.7%	32.8%	18.8%	13.2%
3	80050	AGOURA HILLS	3.6%	13.0%	20.8%	36.6%	59.1%	25.2%	11.0%	6.7%
3	9007674	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	7.1%	19.8%	35.0%	56.8%	19.4%	10.2%	10.9%
3	9001277	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	5.8%	20.5%	23.3%	71.4%	15.6%	15.0%	6.6%
3	9002265	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	12.4%	24.1%	19.1%	71.9%	20.9%	16.7%	7.3%
3	9001134	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	3.0%	21.3%	31.7%	69.7%	18.9%	6.5%	2.0%
3	9001226	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	5.4%	17.7%	14.1%	72.1%	32.0%	24.6%	10.9%
3	9000561	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	4.8%	19.0%	22.9%	63.9%	18.9%	15.3%	9.3%
3	9000558	LOS ANGELES	3.6%	6.2%	24.5%	32.9%	58.3%	18.4%	12.5%	9.3%
3	900013	BEVERLY HILLS	3.6%	7.1%	21.7%	20.7%	92.6%	9.4%	26.5%	2.9%
3	70008	AGOURA	3.6%	8.5%	26.7%	44.4%	72.0%	29.3%	16.0%	6.5%
3	6250017	SANTA MONICA	3.7%	10.7%	23.4%	16.9%	69.9%	20.4%	13.6%	7.5%
3	9001256	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	6.0%	21.5%	14.8%	78.8%	12.8%	11.4%	8.0%
3	4050002	MALIBU	3.7%	2.5%	18.3%	20.5%	60.6%	32.0%	16.3%	10.5%
3	9001382	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	13.6%	30.5%	20.2%	76.0%	21.9%	22.7%	5.5%
3	9007679	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	5.6%	15.9%	20.3%	76.2%	18.4%	16.8%	4.3%
3	70006	AGOURA	3.7%	10.4%	27.7%	14.2%	66.9%	30.1%	16.2%	9.3%
3	7770080	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	3.7%	8.6%	29.5%	32.1%	77.3%	25.8%	13.2%	5.0%
3	9005916	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	7.3%	13.2%	23.7%	76.9%	21.6%	21.1%	3.8%
3	6250006	SANTA MONICA	3.7%	11.4%	24.3%	21.3%	70.9%	29.4%	21.5%	9.3%
3	4050004	MALIBU	3.7%	3.6%	10.9%	9.6%	60.4%	16.3%	13.9%	7.8%
3	6250008	SANTA MONICA	3.7%	12.5%	17.9%	13.7%	71.1%	28.8%	18.2%	9.1%
3	9005924	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	6.5%	12.2%	25.4%	71.4%	17.0%	18.4%	5.7%
3	9000267	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	5.2%	15.7%	23.5%	77.6%	18.9%	15.2%	6.3%
3	9003454	LOS ANGELES	3.7%	1.7%	29.1%	27.3%	78.6%	21.1%	8.2%	10.2%
3	7770013	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	3.7%	11.9%	26.5%	27.6%	64.7%	38.5%	20.5%	12.3%
3	900010	BEVERLY HILLS	3.7%	4.3%	22.8%	36.1%	74.2%	20.3%	18.4%	3.0%
3	9001608	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	10.5%	25.3%	31.3%	70.9%	23.9%	12.4%	6.9%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9007689	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	13.2%	20.3%	22.1%	68.3%	33.0%	27.4%	9.2%
3	9002866	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	8.8%	14.9%	30.4%	69.6%	14.9%	14.9%	8.7%
3	900026	BEVERLY HILLS	3.8%	12.3%	28.6%	29.8%	75.0%	21.8%	18.4%	10.0%
3	9003077	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	9.3%	36.8%	21.8%	63.5%	24.3%	14.4%	8.1%
3	9001412	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	4.8%	22.2%	13.0%	72.7%	13.0%	2.4%	9.3%
3	6250125	SANTA MONICA	3.8%	5.3%	27.9%	14.7%	72.0%	24.5%	14.1%	13.7%
3	6250110	SANTA MONICA	3.8%	9.1%	15.5%	22.1%	73.3%	25.6%	13.5%	17.7%
3	9001122	LOS ANGELES	3.8%	3.0%	22.9%	26.9%	76.8%	25.0%	25.5%	9.3%
3	4050064	MALIBU	3.8%	9.4%	14.1%	25.0%	66.2%	28.1%	17.7%	7.1%
3	1000016	CALABASAS	3.8%	10.5%	29.8%	25.4%	71.2%	24.8%	5.5%	9.5%
3	9006627	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	3.7%	22.5%	28.3%	64.0%	16.9%	23.1%	7.1%
3	6250016	SANTA MONICA	3.9%	10.7%	16.0%	27.7%	72.1%	25.5%	25.3%	8.5%
3	6250032	SANTA MONICA	3.9%	19.2%	22.9%	25.0%	72.2%	29.5%	12.6%	9.3%
3	9003351	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	8.0%	24.6%	26.0%	80.6%	16.0%	10.5%	4.5%
3	9001402	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	4.4%	28.0%	24.6%	78.5%	13.1%	16.0%	4.3%
3	9000712	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	7.1%	20.3%	18.0%	79.2%	21.5%	18.4%	7.2%
3	9000609	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	9.2%	19.8%	25.0%	76.4%	22.0%	18.4%	15.2%
3	9001049	LOS ANGELES	3.9%	4.2%	22.0%	29.2%	63.5%	18.4%	12.9%	7.2%
3	7770010	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	3.9%	12.2%	30.8%	37.8%	60.0%	23.6%	19.3%	5.7%
3	4050057	MALIBU	3.9%	5.6%	15.3%	12.8%	62.7%	24.8%	11.6%	7.7%
3	9006671	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	8.9%	27.6%	28.2%	54.8%	27.2%	14.3%	6.1%
3	9001373	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	7.5%	20.4%	21.6%	66.4%	22.0%	25.4%	2.3%
3	7770001	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	4.0%	12.1%	26.9%	25.0%	71.0%	26.4%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9001262	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	12.9%	42.3%	31.0%	68.0%	28.6%	13.5%	14.7%
3	9002232	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	9.5%	20.0%	26.7%	81.2%	19.4%	16.7%	8.6%
3	9001199	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	11.5%	28.6%	20.8%	82.6%	9.6%	18.4%	8.0%
3	2780001	HIDDEN HILLS	4.0%	13.8%	35.6%	22.0%	58.8%	35.1%	19.4%	5.9%
3	1000020	CALABASAS	4.0%	8.3%	36.2%	40.3%	61.4%	21.2%	17.4%	2.2%
3	9005383	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	2.1%	23.3%	23.5%	78.4%	26.4%	8.9%	10.8%
3	9001332	LOS ANGELES	4.0%	2.6%	18.3%	24.6%	63.4%	15.4%	11.7%	11.6%
3	900003	BEVERLY HILLS	4.1%	11.9%	20.6%	37.3%	82.9%	21.3%	18.4%	10.9%
3	9001613	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	1.3%	19.1%	23.8%	73.1%	13.3%	16.8%	9.3%
3	6250007	SANTA MONICA	4.1%	10.5%	29.2%	16.7%	78.6%	20.0%	15.3%	5.4%
3	1000028	CALABASAS	4.1%	8.9%	34.8%	34.9%	79.6%	26.4%	10.9%	4.7%
3	9001268	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	9.4%	27.3%	18.5%	76.7%	18.0%	12.7%	9.3%
3	9002766	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	6.7%	25.3%	21.6%	73.5%	22.0%	22.5%	11.9%
3	4050062	MALIBU	4.1%	9.2%	26.9%	22.9%	64.0%	31.3%	23.0%	17.2%
3	9007913	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	1.9%	27.9%	43.5%	62.2%	22.7%	19.4%	6.9%
3	9001283	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	9.1%	20.0%	22.5%	62.5%	23.4%	21.6%	9.6%
3	6250015	SANTA MONICA	4.1%	7.1%	19.8%	18.6%	65.1%	18.3%	23.5%	8.0%
3	9001036	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	8.7%	23.2%	27.5%	61.5%	23.7%	13.3%	3.1%
3	9003465	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	9.1%	23.4%	27.3%	72.0%	27.6%	8.5%	9.3%
3	9001310	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	2.1%	17.4%	23.8%	75.8%	14.2%	11.3%	6.9%
3	9001282	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	5.8%	25.6%	32.1%	73.2%	25.9%	18.4%	7.3%
3	9007935	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	3.6%	26.2%	33.3%	66.7%	28.1%	18.5%	8.9%
3	9005920	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	12.7%	17.2%	23.4%	65.5%	23.2%	18.2%	10.0%
3	9007693	LOS ANGELES	4.1%	4.1%	16.3%	29.8%	63.8%	18.7%	19.2%	5.1%
3	9001271	LOS ANGELES	4.2%	8.6%	24.6%	27.2%	67.1%	18.8%	14.1%	2.7%
3	900023	BEVERLY HILLS	4.2%	12.1%	25.5%	33.9%	64.7%	21.2%	18.8%	6.3%
3	80001	AGOURA HILLS	4.2%	10.2%	33.8%	38.4%	61.8%	32.8%	17.7%	6.1%
3	9007697	LOS ANGELES	4.2%	9.2%	22.2%	22.5%	67.4%	24.6%	20.3%	9.4%
3	9001227	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	9.0%	21.7%	18.3%	62.2%	30.9%	23.5%	9.3%
3	9001331	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	6.0%	21.1%	24.2%	68.1%	22.0%	11.9%	9.3%
3	9001027	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	6.1%	14.5%	36.4%	63.0%	15.5%	12.9%	7.7%
3	9005931	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	6.8%	16.8%	17.2%	83.0%	19.2%	19.0%	9.2%
3	9006496	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	7.0%	28.8%	26.8%	68.1%	15.7%	16.2%	14.6%
3	9007971	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	7.3%	29.6%	30.4%	70.0%	24.0%	16.2%	1.5%
3	9001454	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	4.9%	21.7%	17.7%	74.3%	27.8%	16.5%	6.5%
3	9006623	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	5.1%	32.9%	40.0%	60.4%	26.6%	19.8%	8.3%
3	70004	AGOURA	4.4%	9.5%	31.9%	28.0%	58.5%	34.0%	17.0%	9.3%
3	9000238	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	7.1%	23.4%	21.4%	62.7%	17.9%	17.8%	6.0%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9001176	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	11.8%	16.1%	23.2%	74.2%	22.1%	17.5%	9.8%
3	9006660	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	7.2%	22.9%	35.9%	70.3%	19.5%	18.2%	5.9%
3	9005827	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	10.0%	19.6%	35.6%	80.0%	25.0%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9001441	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	5.6%	22.9%	29.2%	81.3%	18.8%	18.4%	14.8%
3	9001100	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	4.6%	14.6%	25.2%	66.4%	23.1%	13.1%	10.8%
3	900018	BEVERLY HILLS	4.4%	7.5%	21.0%	25.7%	67.2%	19.0%	12.9%	9.3%
3	9006666	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	10.3%	24.3%	31.9%	61.6%	17.9%	10.2%	4.6%
3	4060012	MALIBU HEIGHTS	4.4%	9.0%	47.6%	25.9%	58.3%	37.1%	9.7%	9.3%
3	9001257	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	1.5%	14.0%	19.4%	67.9%	21.2%	14.7%	1.5%
3	9001213	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	7.8%	33.9%	30.8%	73.0%	30.0%	18.4%	5.2%
3	9001278	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	11.0%	13.2%	32.9%	73.0%	23.0%	7.1%	14.5%
3	9006629	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	9.0%	29.9%	43.8%	54.1%	28.6%	11.3%	6.3%
3	9002237	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	4.4%	29.5%	20.9%	63.0%	17.4%	22.4%	11.9%
3	7100030	TOPANGA	4.4%	12.4%	19.3%	8.8%	76.1%	29.9%	28.9%	12.8%
3	80021	AGOURA HILLS	4.4%	4.9%	33.8%	33.3%	77.1%	35.4%	15.7%	9.3%
3	6250034	SANTA MONICA	4.5%	17.3%	29.4%	23.5%	70.1%	32.4%	24.8%	9.6%
3	9003218	LOS ANGELES	4.5%	10.0%	32.3%	31.6%	60.6%	22.5%	9.8%	4.4%
3	9001350	LOS ANGELES	4.5%	4.2%	16.9%	25.3%	81.7%	17.5%	18.4%	6.3%
3	6250031	SANTA MONICA	4.5%	15.2%	19.5%	23.1%	66.4%	28.9%	17.9%	14.8%
3	6250036	SANTA MONICA	4.5%	12.1%	26.2%	24.8%	72.2%	35.6%	12.4%	11.5%
3	9003468	LOS ANGELES	4.5%	3.0%	18.3%	14.1%	77.2%	29.6%	17.7%	12.2%
3	9007909	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	8.3%	19.1%	34.0%	70.8%	26.5%	8.1%	6.3%
3	9001070	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	9.6%	28.6%	27.4%	64.8%	10.9%	13.0%	6.1%
3	9005835	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	6.9%	13.0%	32.8%	68.5%	17.2%	14.3%	4.7%
3	9005926	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	4.6%	18.4%	16.3%	72.0%	20.0%	19.9%	12.8%
3	6250107	SANTA MONICA	4.6%	7.6%	17.1%	21.2%	74.8%	22.1%	13.0%	9.0%
3	9006644	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	7.1%	21.4%	45.5%	67.6%	17.1%	21.0%	1.3%
3	9001133	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	5.5%	26.2%	24.3%	70.9%	17.3%	12.2%	7.9%
3	9001316	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	11.9%	21.1%	30.4%	60.7%	27.0%	15.6%	16.7%
3	9001623	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	10.9%	26.7%	19.8%	72.4%	27.9%	11.4%	14.2%
3	80012	AGOURA HILLS	4.6%	10.8%	28.7%	31.5%	72.9%	36.3%	10.8%	11.2%
3	9003732	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	14.3%	40.0%	33.3%	73.9%	32.8%	25.5%	13.7%
3	80043	AGOURA HILLS	4.6%	9.1%	24.6%	30.6%	77.1%	31.5%	23.6%	4.0%
3	9006606	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	7.6%	26.1%	30.8%	69.2%	26.5%	15.0%	8.2%
3	900009	BEVERLY HILLS	4.7%	5.1%	15.9%	25.6%	73.0%	16.5%	10.8%	9.3%
3	9001253	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	5.6%	13.5%	24.6%	71.8%	18.2%	17.1%	8.6%
3	9001342	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	6.1%	21.4%	30.2%	73.5%	16.3%	15.0%	5.5%
3	9001252	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	10.9%	19.4%	19.4%	73.9%	30.6%	12.1%	7.6%
3	9001135	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	5.5%	13.7%	25.0%	71.7%	21.1%	6.9%	10.5%
3	4060001	MALIBU HEIGHTS	4.7%	4.1%	17.5%	10.2%	76.7%	33.3%	18.4%	7.9%
3	9001203	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	2.9%	21.2%	18.4%	72.0%	19.0%	21.2%	9.3%
3	9001095	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	5.6%	17.8%	26.7%	67.6%	21.6%	22.4%	9.5%
3	9001174	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	8.3%	19.4%	20.9%	74.1%	29.9%	14.5%	9.5%
3	9001334	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	8.2%	32.3%	27.5%	65.7%	21.5%	17.5%	9.3%
3	9001039	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	8.6%	23.4%	26.1%	63.6%	30.4%	26.4%	9.3%
3	9006613	LOS ANGELES	4.7%	7.0%	26.1%	26.0%	63.5%	22.4%	21.2%	5.3%
3	9001352	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	2.9%	14.6%	25.0%	67.2%	14.6%	8.0%	11.1%
3	9005161	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	9.3%	27.4%	32.1%	58.6%	15.4%	15.6%	12.4%
3	9006614	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	7.5%	23.5%	33.8%	62.7%	32.2%	15.1%	9.3%
3	7750036	WEST HOLLYWOOD	4.8%	10.5%	29.2%	32.9%	54.2%	20.2%	13.5%	14.3%
3	9001312	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	4.5%	27.5%	24.2%	72.6%	21.1%	13.0%	12.3%
3	9001233	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	9.1%	19.4%	20.5%	69.7%	20.8%	22.9%	9.3%
3	7100033	TOPANGA	4.8%	6.8%	12.0%	18.8%	60.7%	23.0%	20.0%	6.3%
3	9001041	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	11.3%	25.7%	18.8%	67.0%	24.6%	23.6%	9.3%
3	6250056	SANTA MONICA	4.8%	12.8%	32.6%	12.0%	70.5%	14.0%	9.4%	3.5%
3	9002272	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	2.2%	17.3%	29.8%	68.2%	19.4%	19.2%	16.9%
3	9006652	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	8.3%	31.3%	37.2%	72.0%	30.2%	18.4%	12.6%
3	900020	BEVERLY HILLS	4.9%	3.7%	27.5%	18.5%	83.7%	22.9%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9002271	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	4.7%	25.5%	30.1%	73.6%	21.0%	13.3%	9.5%
3	9007957	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	5.0%	10.5%	35.0%	63.9%	34.4%	18.4%	5.0%
3	9002280	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	5.8%	22.7%	19.5%	67.5%	32.1%	15.4%	10.5%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9001173	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	4.3%	22.8%	19.4%	73.3%	21.7%	20.3%	8.6%
3	9001096	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	5.6%	22.4%	31.3%	73.8%	24.2%	17.5%	5.3%
3	9005919	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	3.5%	11.6%	20.6%	83.5%	31.3%	18.9%	11.0%
3	1000007	CALABASAS	4.9%	8.5%	38.1%	31.9%	72.7%	25.5%	18.1%	6.0%
3	9000683	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	13.5%	17.7%	17.2%	75.6%	22.5%	14.7%	9.3%
3	9006620	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	8.9%	28.8%	29.2%	71.1%	16.1%	20.5%	6.8%
3	9006624	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	3.3%	23.6%	20.0%	65.5%	33.7%	10.6%	11.8%
3	6250010	SANTA MONICA	5.0%	9.1%	28.7%	16.2%	83.0%	35.0%	20.2%	16.7%
3	9002901	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	9.9%	20.3%	39.7%	65.2%	43.8%	21.0%	4.1%
3	9001884	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	15.5%	23.3%	35.4%	66.3%	39.8%	19.0%	14.3%
3	6250018	SANTA MONICA	5.0%	7.1%	23.8%	13.8%	71.8%	22.5%	23.2%	8.8%
3	9001279	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	5.3%	15.4%	27.8%	72.1%	14.4%	12.6%	16.1%
3	9002883	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	17.2%	25.9%	40.7%	67.9%	20.9%	14.1%	13.6%
3	9001195	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	10.3%	24.3%	24.1%	61.4%	27.4%	24.2%	11.7%
3	9001060	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	4.9%	25.4%	27.5%	69.2%	20.7%	14.4%	2.9%
3	9003683	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	7.0%	27.8%	38.3%	60.5%	15.5%	15.3%	6.0%
3	9006615	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	4.8%	34.7%	29.0%	66.7%	26.4%	14.9%	9.3%
3	9002225	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	5.9%	17.7%	27.9%	65.1%	28.8%	18.4%	14.0%
3	9007939	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	9.2%	18.2%	32.7%	80.2%	22.9%	20.0%	14.0%
3	9001413	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	2.8%	15.9%	20.6%	73.4%	10.1%	12.3%	6.5%
3	900006	BEVERLY HILLS	5.0%	4.8%	20.0%	34.4%	75.0%	13.3%	5.1%	11.5%
3	9000253	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	10.3%	15.3%	27.1%	52.6%	28.2%	22.6%	6.0%
3	9000217	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	5.0%	29.0%	21.8%	75.0%	32.5%	16.5%	4.8%
3	9001019	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	6.3%	22.1%	28.1%	63.4%	23.0%	16.3%	9.3%
3	9002863	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	9.0%	20.2%	19.6%	76.2%	33.3%	26.1%	21.1%
3	9006601	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	7.3%	25.0%	28.7%	70.4%	15.5%	19.7%	8.4%
3	9002393	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	8.0%	15.7%	25.8%	74.8%	25.8%	13.4%	9.4%
3	9007377	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	15.6%	20.0%	32.5%	69.9%	28.3%	11.7%	11.9%
3	900047	BEVERLY HILLS	5.1%	7.1%	17.2%	18.8%	80.0%	19.2%	16.2%	7.5%
3	9000154	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	6.7%	26.6%	41.3%	71.0%	26.6%	12.7%	9.3%
3	9000263	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	6.1%	20.9%	13.7%	79.8%	23.7%	17.3%	9.1%
3	80022	AGOURA HILLS	5.2%	11.8%	24.7%	27.2%	62.2%	29.8%	17.1%	11.1%
3	9005373	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	9.0%	30.4%	25.5%	70.9%	24.5%	19.6%	6.7%
3	9001448	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	5.8%	31.1%	33.6%	74.5%	24.5%	17.4%	12.5%
3	9001317	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	15.2%	31.7%	43.5%	73.3%	23.7%	18.4%	14.6%
3	6250020	SANTA MONICA	5.2%	9.6%	30.4%	11.4%	72.5%	29.7%	14.0%	11.3%
3	6250043	SANTA MONICA	5.2%	9.7%	25.0%	26.2%	80.0%	30.9%	17.0%	9.3%
3	9005837	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	8.5%	14.3%	22.2%	68.7%	36.0%	15.3%	8.0%
3	1000008	CALABASAS	5.2%	7.9%	29.5%	36.2%	71.3%	25.2%	11.6%	7.6%
3	9001255	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	6.8%	18.0%	20.2%	70.0%	14.5%	18.5%	3.7%
3	9006618	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	2.4%	21.7%	31.2%	73.6%	21.9%	11.5%	4.0%
3	9007131	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	7.5%	27.7%	35.8%	74.8%	26.0%	18.8%	9.3%
3	7100006	TOPANGA	5.3%	12.5%	21.1%	8.2%	78.1%	24.5%	19.3%	9.0%
3	4060004	MALIBU HEIGHTS	5.3%	3.3%	16.7%	25.8%	65.5%	27.8%	15.2%	9.3%
3	9000987	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	8.3%	24.0%	30.2%	72.8%	20.6%	18.9%	10.0%
3	6250095	SANTA MONICA	5.3%	15.4%	28.0%	19.1%	64.9%	27.1%	14.5%	6.0%
3	9002251	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	9.4%	15.5%	25.6%	72.9%	23.1%	9.3%	12.6%
3	6250109	SANTA MONICA	5.3%	13.2%	20.2%	22.0%	77.6%	28.6%	20.8%	15.1%
3	7750040	WEST HOLLYWOOD	5.3%	8.4%	17.6%	42.3%	57.1%	20.0%	26.7%	10.0%
3	9001249	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	8.8%	17.7%	25.0%	66.7%	28.4%	20.6%	11.0%
3	9001187	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	4.0%	20.5%	25.7%	64.5%	16.0%	11.2%	3.2%
3	9000272	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	6.7%	21.7%	29.3%	77.5%	25.8%	22.4%	5.1%
3	9002238	LOS ANGELES	5.3%	8.3%	18.8%	24.4%	76.2%	19.2%	11.8%	15.5%
3	9001231	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	11.1%	33.8%	25.8%	70.9%	17.9%	18.4%	13.5%
3	9001442	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	15.2%	43.3%	29.4%	86.7%	28.0%	7.7%	3.6%
3	9005142	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	12.1%	31.7%	21.4%	73.7%	31.1%	22.5%	7.4%
3	9001326	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	3.8%	16.7%	30.8%	75.0%	14.3%	15.9%	15.9%
3	9007139	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	10.1%	18.9%	30.8%	70.9%	23.8%	24.1%	7.1%
3	9002270	LOS ANGELES	5.4%	12.9%	19.0%	27.0%	73.9%	23.1%	15.7%	9.3%
3	1000015	CALABASAS	5.4%	10.0%	23.3%	32.6%	78.5%	33.9%	23.7%	9.3%
3	9001224	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	1.6%	22.0%	26.0%	71.4%	21.3%	8.5%	3.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9001007	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	11.3%	27.8%	37.8%	65.4%	24.6%	18.4%	10.2%
3	1000009	CALABASAS	5.5%	10.7%	20.0%	37.5%	63.0%	29.2%	18.4%	11.0%
3	9006602	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	5.3%	27.7%	29.5%	73.2%	25.4%	13.6%	7.3%
3	9006495	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	7.7%	36.2%	22.4%	80.6%	21.5%	18.9%	7.1%
3	9007928	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	6.3%	18.9%	43.3%	62.7%	19.4%	15.0%	11.6%
3	9002223	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	9.2%	21.5%	28.6%	71.2%	24.7%	20.5%	11.4%
3	9001244	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	16.4%	23.8%	23.1%	72.0%	42.2%	22.7%	9.3%
3	4050060	MALIBU	5.5%	5.1%	14.4%	16.8%	69.9%	31.5%	15.5%	9.3%
3	6250094	SANTA MONICA	5.5%	12.6%	22.8%	34.5%	75.0%	28.6%	15.7%	15.2%
3	9001180	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	6.5%	21.4%	18.3%	74.0%	20.2%	20.7%	9.3%
3	9002252	LOS ANGELES	5.5%	7.1%	22.9%	28.0%	75.0%	25.3%	16.7%	13.8%
3	7750002	WEST HOLLYWOOD	5.6%	13.9%	22.5%	22.8%	77.8%	31.2%	32.5%	13.3%
3	9000528	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	7.4%	29.9%	27.4%	77.5%	20.5%	18.4%	2.7%
3	9001438	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	5.9%	25.0%	28.3%	71.2%	18.8%	20.8%	8.5%
3	9000260	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	3.1%	22.7%	21.0%	64.3%	14.7%	25.5%	6.5%
3	9005925	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	5.7%	23.9%	27.0%	77.0%	32.1%	28.6%	7.1%
3	6250113	SANTA MONICA	5.6%	14.3%	22.1%	22.2%	73.5%	26.9%	16.9%	15.5%
3	80075	AGOURA HILLS	5.6%	9.2%	19.3%	37.0%	60.9%	22.9%	17.1%	6.3%
3	9000684	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	8.5%	22.1%	16.7%	68.1%	19.0%	17.1%	14.7%
3	9002250	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	8.6%	29.2%	19.0%	71.4%	37.7%	9.7%	13.5%
3	9001178	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	5.3%	24.0%	32.3%	75.4%	21.5%	10.9%	7.1%
3	9006263	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	3.6%	21.8%	44.9%	63.6%	18.7%	19.9%	7.9%
3	9001409	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	7.0%	14.3%	33.3%	76.5%	22.0%	18.4%	6.3%
3	9007132	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	12.1%	16.0%	26.7%	66.1%	14.6%	7.4%	5.2%
3	9005196	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	4.9%	25.3%	29.0%	76.0%	26.5%	17.2%	9.3%
3	9001318	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	17.0%	30.0%	33.3%	83.7%	24.4%	25.6%	9.3%
3	9001250	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	14.8%	25.0%	31.4%	59.0%	24.2%	18.2%	3.6%
3	6250038	SANTA MONICA	5.7%	7.3%	21.1%	17.8%	68.9%	29.5%	22.5%	24.4%
3	9005389	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	6.5%	16.9%	27.0%	75.9%	25.3%	13.1%	6.3%
3	9007960	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	10.7%	34.3%	27.4%	70.4%	25.2%	20.6%	9.3%
3	9001433	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	11.8%	33.7%	22.9%	77.7%	31.9%	26.4%	9.4%
3	900025	BEVERLY HILLS	5.7%	2.1%	30.4%	23.5%	78.0%	21.1%	18.4%	4.3%
3	9005158	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	5.3%	33.3%	27.2%	56.3%	24.0%	24.0%	10.5%
3	7750008	WEST HOLLYWOOD	5.8%	3.6%	7.1%	27.2%	76.0%	23.2%	30.0%	8.8%
3	9001452	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	11.5%	23.2%	29.4%	73.3%	27.2%	14.5%	3.9%
3	6250046	SANTA MONICA	5.8%	9.8%	24.1%	25.3%	74.7%	32.6%	22.7%	9.3%
3	9006611	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	8.6%	29.5%	34.3%	61.9%	20.2%	12.1%	9.0%
3	9000262	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	12.1%	26.3%	32.2%	67.9%	36.3%	21.5%	13.8%
3	9005530	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	10.9%	27.3%	30.8%	61.3%	30.2%	28.6%	11.7%
3	9001444	LOS ANGELES	5.9%	2.5%	33.3%	37.7%	69.2%	15.4%	15.9%	6.9%
3	9001440	LOS ANGELES	5.9%	5.0%	20.0%	22.0%	74.0%	23.6%	12.3%	15.1%
3	9002921	LOS ANGELES	5.9%	9.7%	26.5%	15.9%	78.7%	28.1%	34.8%	9.3%
3	9005159	LOS ANGELES	5.9%	1.3%	21.7%	23.7%	60.3%	20.7%	14.6%	12.0%
3	900030	BEVERLY HILLS	5.9%	12.3%	13.3%	23.9%	67.7%	16.7%	30.3%	14.1%
3	9005520	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	11.8%	32.9%	31.3%	70.7%	24.5%	13.9%	4.2%
3	9001540	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	9.9%	20.3%	20.0%	66.7%	38.2%	12.5%	8.7%
3	9001191	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	7.1%	24.2%	22.9%	78.8%	30.2%	18.9%	9.3%
3	9002273	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	6.6%	15.5%	40.7%	74.7%	22.9%	9.7%	18.6%
3	9001243	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	7.7%	22.6%	26.6%	75.9%	31.5%	27.1%	9.3%
3	9003161	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	11.8%	24.3%	27.0%	67.5%	33.7%	18.4%	9.3%
3	80047	AGOURA HILLS	6.1%	14.5%	19.0%	34.7%	72.6%	38.2%	14.3%	8.4%
3	9006492	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	5.5%	24.7%	31.4%	61.3%	29.9%	18.2%	9.3%
3	6250021	SANTA MONICA	6.1%	8.1%	24.6%	22.8%	70.4%	31.8%	22.4%	8.8%
3	7750072	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.1%	4.0%	15.7%	24.0%	85.7%	27.7%	35.6%	9.3%
3	9001449	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	13.2%	33.3%	25.3%	60.3%	32.1%	14.5%	6.8%
3	9001093	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	5.1%	19.4%	24.8%	72.0%	24.0%	19.5%	8.3%
3	6250104	SANTA MONICA	6.1%	10.9%	17.0%	23.8%	67.8%	31.0%	14.8%	18.2%
3	9002388	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	9.5%	25.0%	15.9%	75.9%	34.4%	28.8%	9.3%
3	9000234	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	6.5%	13.6%	28.2%	63.4%	21.4%	17.3%	4.3%
3	9000250	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	10.6%	31.3%	31.4%	78.4%	16.0%	17.0%	9.3%
3	9001430	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	4.9%	17.8%	35.4%	66.7%	33.8%	19.2%	4.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	900039	BEVERLY HILLS	6.1%	10.0%	32.8%	35.2%	74.1%	28.8%	23.0%	7.8%
3	9000246	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	7.0%	26.3%	25.9%	74.7%	28.6%	17.4%	6.7%
3	9000410	LOS ANGELES	6.2%	8.7%	23.3%	31.8%	76.3%	27.5%	18.4%	8.0%
3	9006625	LOS ANGELES	6.2%	13.9%	35.4%	24.4%	75.0%	38.1%	25.8%	9.3%
3	4050063	MALIBU	6.2%	11.1%	17.9%	17.9%	53.4%	30.9%	16.0%	14.9%
3	6250035	SANTA MONICA	6.2%	8.5%	21.9%	17.5%	72.4%	30.0%	24.7%	12.6%
3	9001182	LOS ANGELES	6.2%	7.9%	21.2%	17.4%	81.7%	14.5%	17.9%	6.3%
3	4060003	MALIBU HEIGHTS	6.2%	17.4%	28.6%	33.3%	69.6%	25.0%	27.3%	9.3%
3	9005152	LOS ANGELES	6.3%	14.3%	28.0%	40.5%	68.4%	31.0%	22.6%	6.0%
3	6250098	SANTA MONICA	6.3%	9.3%	18.1%	12.4%	66.7%	31.7%	24.2%	9.3%
3	9001274	LOS ANGELES	6.3%	9.7%	25.0%	29.2%	71.0%	22.7%	10.5%	10.5%
3	6250041	SANTA MONICA	6.3%	9.7%	15.9%	32.9%	70.3%	29.5%	32.1%	13.4%
3	9005199	LOS ANGELES	6.3%	7.2%	18.2%	36.9%	64.9%	22.7%	17.2%	12.3%
3	9001131	LOS ANGELES	6.3%	4.7%	24.1%	18.6%	68.9%	20.3%	11.0%	8.4%
3	9000230	LOS ANGELES	6.4%	4.3%	26.6%	36.0%	69.4%	15.0%	19.3%	2.9%
3	7750020	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.4%	3.5%	20.8%	30.0%	70.4%	23.6%	18.4%	1.9%
3	9001094	LOS ANGELES	6.4%	8.9%	21.0%	20.8%	73.3%	26.5%	16.7%	10.3%
3	6250065	SANTA MONICA	6.4%	9.5%	14.5%	12.9%	69.1%	18.5%	20.3%	9.3%
3	9001938	LOS ANGELES	6.4%	11.0%	16.5%	19.8%	79.8%	36.1%	24.4%	9.3%
3	70041	AGOURA	6.4%	8.6%	32.1%	25.3%	65.9%	41.7%	21.8%	9.3%
3	80053	AGOURA HILLS	6.4%	8.5%	26.7%	29.5%	60.6%	41.8%	15.1%	9.3%
3	7750021	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.5%	7.1%	18.9%	25.0%	75.7%	27.8%	24.3%	13.2%
3	9001157	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	15.3%	29.9%	35.4%	71.4%	34.8%	26.7%	8.2%
3	9007954	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	10.5%	24.0%	35.9%	69.5%	22.7%	22.7%	11.1%
3	7750077	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.5%	5.9%	19.7%	23.7%	72.7%	23.8%	27.5%	5.6%
3	9001083	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	12.0%	22.6%	33.0%	74.8%	20.2%	17.8%	9.3%
3	9000596	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	5.3%	24.4%	37.8%	68.2%	27.6%	21.9%	5.7%
3	9001164	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	6.1%	28.6%	24.2%	73.8%	22.2%	24.1%	9.0%
3	9006473	LOS ANGELES	6.6%	5.7%	34.9%	27.0%	66.2%	17.8%	9.4%	5.5%
3	7750023	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.6%	9.9%	21.5%	32.1%	72.2%	20.4%	26.2%	11.2%
3	7750078	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.6%	5.7%	17.9%	30.4%	83.3%	28.0%	29.6%	12.7%
3	6250093	SANTA MONICA	6.6%	9.7%	24.4%	26.8%	73.8%	33.6%	24.8%	17.0%
3	9001426	LOS ANGELES	6.6%	7.0%	27.0%	30.0%	60.5%	11.8%	21.3%	9.6%
3	9006277	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	10.2%	26.9%	41.7%	59.6%	32.6%	16.4%	12.8%
3	9001422	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	8.5%	28.8%	26.5%	59.5%	23.7%	14.1%	10.1%
3	1000011	CALABASAS	6.7%	11.8%	29.5%	39.6%	76.0%	31.1%	25.8%	4.5%
3	9001015	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	8.1%	17.6%	27.2%	57.5%	23.2%	22.9%	9.3%
3	9004229	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	14.5%	26.8%	31.7%	72.2%	36.8%	15.6%	6.4%
3	9007927	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	1.7%	21.6%	21.4%	71.2%	22.5%	18.4%	4.9%
3	9005310	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	15.0%	29.4%	25.0%	68.4%	18.6%	20.6%	6.5%
3	9003981	LOS ANGELES	6.8%	3.5%	24.3%	29.5%	66.7%	26.4%	15.9%	3.4%
3	7750007	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.8%	8.9%	26.9%	30.6%	70.3%	26.3%	28.1%	11.3%
3	9004240	LOS ANGELES	6.9%	6.3%	40.0%	42.9%	93.8%	38.9%	18.4%	6.3%
3	7750024	WEST HOLLYWOOD	6.9%	10.3%	23.9%	29.5%	70.1%	24.3%	18.4%	9.2%
3	6250083	SANTA MONICA	6.9%	6.6%	17.7%	18.9%	73.4%	28.6%	17.6%	9.1%
3	80038	AGOURA HILLS	6.9%	14.3%	28.8%	34.3%	68.3%	29.0%	17.6%	7.4%
3	9006452	LOS ANGELES	6.9%	10.0%	21.0%	41.0%	77.6%	26.3%	13.7%	4.3%
3	9001421	LOS ANGELES	6.9%	7.3%	28.6%	19.2%	68.3%	26.5%	17.2%	8.3%
3	9001429	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	8.1%	20.5%	23.5%	71.4%	30.7%	22.5%	5.5%
3	9002389	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	8.9%	17.0%	20.2%	76.8%	32.5%	18.5%	17.4%
3	9007843	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	14.3%	30.4%	34.0%	72.7%	32.5%	14.2%	9.3%
3	9002279	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	3.1%	17.8%	21.1%	72.2%	14.4%	5.9%	9.3%
3	9002227	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	11.1%	28.4%	29.9%	66.3%	28.7%	27.2%	13.4%
3	9002786	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	13.3%	28.6%	16.4%	66.7%	26.5%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9001092	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	9.9%	25.3%	36.4%	68.9%	25.2%	14.3%	20.8%
3	7750071	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.1%	5.7%	17.1%	32.5%	84.1%	27.2%	42.5%	9.2%
3	7750005	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.1%	11.1%	21.1%	31.1%	66.7%	20.2%	35.9%	8.8%
3	9001196	LOS ANGELES	7.1%	14.6%	23.7%	29.3%	72.2%	34.1%	26.1%	9.3%
3	9001121	LOS ANGELES	7.1%	7.8%	22.4%	34.2%	68.5%	22.7%	18.4%	12.7%
3	1000013	CALABASAS	7.1%	16.9%	25.0%	33.3%	75.9%	47.8%	23.1%	13.4%
3	7750017	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.2%	12.0%	22.4%	30.6%	70.7%	34.3%	37.1%	11.7%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9001113	LOS ANGELES	7.2%	13.1%	20.5%	36.0%	68.2%	23.5%	12.3%	9.3%
3	9002262	LOS ANGELES	7.2%	11.0%	40.6%	32.9%	75.0%	32.9%	25.4%	9.3%
3	9001126	LOS ANGELES	7.2%	12.2%	22.2%	35.1%	74.6%	25.0%	20.5%	15.5%
3	7750026	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.2%	14.0%	23.5%	35.6%	71.1%	20.4%	25.3%	10.6%
3	9000212	LOS ANGELES	7.2%	12.1%	36.0%	38.1%	51.9%	28.6%	20.4%	8.3%
3	9007367	LOS ANGELES	7.2%	18.2%	30.3%	31.5%	80.3%	24.1%	20.5%	10.5%
3	6250081	SANTA MONICA	7.3%	9.3%	22.6%	21.1%	70.8%	29.5%	21.6%	7.7%
3	9000348	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	4.6%	25.6%	40.0%	75.3%	40.0%	29.1%	10.5%
3	9004212	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	16.7%	33.7%	53.2%	69.2%	32.0%	16.9%	5.5%
3	80025	AGOURA HILLS	7.3%	12.9%	27.9%	32.9%	75.3%	26.5%	21.7%	3.8%
3	9001167	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	15.4%	20.5%	29.8%	66.7%	27.5%	14.7%	5.9%
3	9002234	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	15.1%	24.7%	15.9%	70.8%	39.7%	17.2%	14.7%
3	9000347	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	12.0%	23.8%	39.6%	63.3%	18.8%	24.5%	9.1%
3	9001109	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	3.2%	22.5%	27.7%	75.6%	29.1%	13.8%	8.4%
3	7750045	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.3%	13.2%	13.6%	26.0%	85.4%	25.0%	23.1%	6.1%
3	9006626	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	11.8%	31.0%	37.5%	63.4%	34.3%	17.3%	8.4%
3	9001090	LOS ANGELES	7.4%	10.4%	19.7%	36.0%	70.8%	19.7%	17.4%	8.6%
3	80074	AGOURA HILLS	7.4%	14.4%	26.2%	40.2%	62.2%	36.7%	7.1%	5.3%
3	9005816	LOS ANGELES	7.4%	10.8%	20.8%	25.0%	69.2%	34.2%	20.8%	13.2%
3	9001184	LOS ANGELES	7.4%	8.3%	25.7%	29.8%	85.6%	28.7%	18.2%	9.3%
3	9006451	LOS ANGELES	7.4%	26.2%	39.4%	48.9%	58.3%	31.8%	19.4%	9.1%
3	9001201	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	7.1%	20.5%	29.3%	66.2%	28.6%	26.7%	9.3%
3	9006471	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	12.2%	24.6%	36.6%	60.6%	29.6%	16.7%	16.9%
3	9001085	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	11.3%	29.9%	42.5%	74.0%	24.7%	18.1%	22.5%
3	9001087	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	12.6%	26.0%	36.9%	64.5%	26.6%	13.2%	7.8%
3	9005140	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	14.0%	29.0%	30.5%	66.7%	37.7%	22.1%	9.3%
3	9007687	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	4.0%	31.2%	13.2%	66.7%	20.9%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9001002	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	6.5%	33.7%	26.9%	74.5%	23.2%	17.9%	18.9%
3	9001428	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	8.7%	33.6%	25.2%	78.1%	32.7%	27.5%	13.8%
3	6250045	SANTA MONICA	7.5%	12.1%	29.1%	35.0%	70.7%	32.1%	32.0%	9.4%
3	9000700	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	11.9%	23.7%	21.4%	70.3%	30.8%	26.0%	16.5%
3	9000715	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	11.8%	25.0%	30.3%	74.1%	41.5%	23.5%	8.5%
3	9001084	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	11.7%	23.9%	21.3%	67.6%	33.3%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9007947	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	3.8%	19.1%	27.8%	67.5%	28.9%	21.7%	16.7%
3	9007230	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	3.1%	21.0%	34.3%	71.2%	34.9%	22.0%	14.8%
3	9006453	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	9.1%	26.1%	44.2%	61.5%	19.5%	18.3%	2.4%
3	7750029	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.7%	16.0%	26.9%	24.1%	61.1%	20.5%	30.3%	16.4%
3	9000422	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	8.8%	25.2%	37.4%	69.6%	31.5%	24.3%	12.5%
3	7750070	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.7%	5.9%	16.0%	25.2%	75.0%	22.7%	18.4%	6.7%
3	9001021	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	6.0%	32.8%	28.2%	78.9%	32.1%	13.6%	10.2%
3	6250120	SANTA MONICA	7.8%	12.8%	32.4%	26.8%	71.1%	41.9%	29.4%	14.0%
3	9001436	LOS ANGELES	7.8%	14.9%	33.3%	36.7%	56.5%	39.2%	19.4%	16.2%
3	9000207	LOS ANGELES	7.8%	14.8%	23.1%	40.0%	71.1%	22.0%	31.6%	9.5%
3	9003552	LOS ANGELES	7.8%	22.6%	34.2%	31.9%	77.8%	41.7%	38.2%	17.6%
3	9006288	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	13.0%	33.8%	33.3%	65.9%	25.8%	20.4%	7.4%
3	7750053	WEST HOLLYWOOD	7.9%	8.7%	14.8%	28.6%	80.2%	19.2%	32.5%	6.7%
3	6250075	SANTA MONICA	7.9%	15.6%	31.4%	32.4%	81.1%	42.7%	25.8%	24.6%
3	9004218	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	11.5%	24.4%	27.3%	66.7%	24.4%	16.9%	9.3%
3	9000001	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	9.3%	24.7%	17.9%	72.2%	30.0%	24.4%	8.5%
3	9001106	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	12.1%	22.2%	28.1%	69.1%	26.6%	18.4%	9.4%
3	7750016	WEST HOLLYWOOD	8.0%	10.3%	27.0%	24.8%	79.6%	20.0%	33.0%	12.7%
3	6250053	SANTA MONICA	8.0%	15.1%	32.1%	28.8%	85.0%	27.8%	23.5%	9.3%
3	80054	AGOURA HILLS	8.0%	3.0%	31.5%	34.4%	73.8%	33.3%	8.6%	3.7%
3	9003228	LOS ANGELES	8.0%	13.4%	25.9%	40.6%	70.7%	27.0%	28.8%	16.4%
3	7750051	WEST HOLLYWOOD	8.1%	3.9%	15.6%	24.0%	82.4%	15.5%	27.2%	6.6%
3	9005312	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	16.4%	32.0%	31.0%	83.0%	33.3%	18.4%	13.2%
3	9000215	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	9.0%	22.2%	27.0%	69.7%	23.0%	20.5%	10.1%
3	9000225	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	13.8%	46.3%	49.4%	71.4%	24.3%	25.5%	10.8%
3	9001261	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	34.5%	55.8%	33.3%	64.8%	34.0%	30.2%	20.0%
3	9006270	LOS ANGELES	8.3%	9.6%	23.4%	26.0%	64.2%	19.4%	21.8%	20.2%
3	6250067	SANTA MONICA	8.3%	7.6%	23.0%	14.7%	73.2%	33.1%	30.3%	19.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
3	9001435	LOS ANGELES	8.3%	15.0%	24.7%	18.4%	75.8%	29.9%	16.5%	8.2%
3	9001621	LOS ANGELES	8.3%	6.2%	24.4%	26.3%	75.0%	21.8%	20.0%	12.9%
3	7750043	WEST HOLLYWOOD	8.4%	11.0%	27.6%	35.7%	63.6%	21.1%	23.3%	11.7%
3	9001338	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	21.2%	37.0%	25.8%	72.7%	32.1%	17.2%	12.9%
3	9006487	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	1.2%	17.9%	32.2%	62.5%	21.6%	14.7%	9.3%
3	9003624	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	16.7%	23.6%	35.9%	64.6%	35.5%	20.3%	11.1%
3	9000251	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	8.5%	28.4%	37.6%	79.8%	32.8%	17.5%	9.3%
3	9005817	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	13.3%	28.8%	36.8%	76.8%	30.3%	28.4%	15.4%
3	9000174	LOS ANGELES	8.5%	7.1%	25.0%	48.2%	66.3%	28.4%	26.9%	11.5%
3	9005818	LOS ANGELES	8.5%	9.6%	27.0%	27.8%	78.4%	27.8%	12.1%	6.2%
3	9005145	LOS ANGELES	8.6%	7.7%	27.7%	37.0%	65.1%	29.3%	23.7%	9.3%
3	7750038	WEST HOLLYWOOD	8.6%	9.8%	20.0%	25.5%	54.0%	25.5%	25.3%	9.3%
3	6250070	SANTA MONICA	8.6%	18.1%	21.9%	17.6%	71.9%	22.2%	18.5%	16.9%
3	4050208	MALIBU	8.6%	8.3%	32.7%	19.2%	62.7%	28.2%	15.0%	11.4%
3	9007825	LOS ANGELES	8.7%	9.6%	21.4%	27.4%	63.3%	21.6%	17.2%	7.7%
3	9001576	LOS ANGELES	8.7%	9.8%	27.2%	35.6%	65.5%	29.9%	23.7%	12.2%
3	9007388	LOS ANGELES	8.7%	14.3%	34.6%	34.4%	82.1%	38.6%	22.4%	20.7%
3	9000317	LOS ANGELES	8.7%	18.3%	38.7%	37.3%	64.7%	41.4%	30.3%	15.8%
3	9006482	LOS ANGELES	8.8%	10.9%	23.9%	41.6%	67.3%	26.5%	18.1%	9.3%
3	7750046	WEST HOLLYWOOD	8.8%	7.2%	21.6%	30.6%	81.6%	21.2%	28.0%	8.7%
3	6250078	SANTA MONICA	8.8%	11.6%	31.1%	27.3%	72.0%	43.2%	23.6%	9.3%
3	9006450	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	13.6%	25.9%	44.8%	55.8%	33.3%	15.9%	8.3%
3	9006489	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	16.1%	28.4%	37.5%	74.1%	31.9%	16.7%	11.3%
3	9006490	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	7.0%	24.1%	41.8%	64.7%	28.5%	21.1%	9.3%
3	9001158	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	8.5%	25.8%	37.0%	73.2%	34.2%	20.0%	9.3%
3	9006483	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	12.2%	39.0%	46.9%	64.6%	34.9%	25.7%	9.3%
3	9004026	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	5.1%	17.4%	21.2%	66.7%	17.0%	18.7%	10.3%
3	6250069	SANTA MONICA	8.9%	10.2%	25.2%	22.5%	66.0%	34.9%	18.4%	9.3%
3	9002745	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	6.4%	22.4%	23.2%	68.6%	36.2%	26.1%	13.3%
3	9001120	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	11.3%	34.3%	40.0%	72.5%	36.9%	17.1%	13.3%
3	9000866	LOS ANGELES	9.1%	10.2%	22.7%	22.3%	81.6%	29.8%	17.9%	5.0%
3	9005155	LOS ANGELES	9.1%	13.3%	28.2%	34.0%	61.7%	31.2%	22.7%	15.2%
3	6250089	SANTA MONICA	9.2%	13.5%	22.0%	23.8%	67.6%	30.8%	18.7%	9.3%
3	6250085	SANTA MONICA	9.3%	10.8%	27.4%	20.4%	68.9%	31.6%	23.9%	11.5%
3	9005549	LOS ANGELES	9.3%	12.5%	40.3%	42.4%	70.3%	27.8%	27.2%	8.2%
3	9006468	LOS ANGELES	9.3%	9.7%	32.9%	44.4%	75.0%	24.6%	23.0%	9.4%
3	9000228	LOS ANGELES	9.3%	8.8%	27.2%	44.3%	63.7%	34.0%	20.8%	17.3%
3	9006267	LOS ANGELES	9.4%	3.8%	15.2%	28.6%	58.2%	20.0%	30.7%	9.3%
3	9001013	LOS ANGELES	9.4%	7.0%	24.0%	36.3%	65.3%	32.1%	19.5%	9.3%
3	9004216	LOS ANGELES	9.5%	1.9%	34.7%	25.5%	68.6%	46.0%	28.9%	9.6%
3	9005151	LOS ANGELES	9.5%	10.7%	34.7%	32.8%	70.8%	30.4%	25.6%	15.9%
3	9003201	LOS ANGELES	9.5%	10.1%	17.3%	13.6%	74.2%	36.1%	27.2%	9.3%
3	9001603	LOS ANGELES	9.6%	8.7%	27.8%	23.1%	81.6%	32.6%	22.1%	11.4%
3	9001575	LOS ANGELES	9.7%	26.7%	17.2%	50.0%	77.4%	39.4%	18.8%	9.3%
3	6250127	SANTA MONICA	9.7%	11.3%	27.7%	18.3%	73.6%	30.0%	25.8%	26.4%
3	9005147	LOS ANGELES	9.7%	13.8%	35.1%	37.9%	68.4%	31.9%	27.6%	10.0%
3	9000699	LOS ANGELES	9.7%	11.0%	29.0%	21.7%	71.7%	26.0%	28.7%	11.6%
3	6250106	SANTA MONICA	9.7%	13.6%	25.0%	24.4%	73.0%	38.8%	23.5%	23.5%
3	9005117	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	9.4%	27.9%	26.4%	69.0%	34.0%	23.6%	14.0%
3	9000213	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	11.9%	29.6%	52.3%	65.0%	31.0%	24.0%	8.8%
3	9000147	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	9.1%	20.2%	37.2%	75.9%	24.0%	18.4%	10.2%
3	9000231	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	3.8%	18.1%	31.9%	77.9%	22.8%	23.0%	9.9%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	5530023	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	1.3%	2.4%	22.2%	24.3%	55.3%	13.4%	17.7%	3.8%
4	5050001	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	1.3%	10.3%	19.6%	26.8%	77.6%	17.3%	14.4%	7.1%
4	5530029	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.0%	11.0%	13.8%	12.5%	61.5%	18.3%	18.4%	9.3%
4	5730011	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	2.1%	11.5%	25.0%	27.8%	70.0%	25.5%	17.7%	3.5%
4	5530027	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.2%	10.8%	10.3%	21.1%	78.1%	17.7%	16.2%	9.3%
4	5050013	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	2.2%	13.5%	12.5%	13.5%	72.0%	27.7%	22.5%	4.0%
4	4850005	OCEAN VIEW	2.3%	12.1%	19.0%	40.6%	61.5%	24.1%	11.0%	5.8%
4	3850257	LONG BEACH	2.4%	13.0%	36.5%	38.7%	70.3%	35.1%	13.8%	15.4%
4	5530002	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.4%	6.0%	18.0%	18.2%	71.9%	20.9%	21.8%	3.5%
4	5700001	ROLLING HILLS	2.5%	3.3%	13.0%	17.2%	64.0%	20.5%	15.2%	12.8%
4	5050010	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	2.5%	12.5%	15.6%	16.7%	81.8%	16.2%	30.2%	5.1%
4	5530026	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.5%	7.7%	20.0%	26.6%	66.2%	25.5%	21.9%	5.2%
4	5730007	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	2.6%	8.3%	16.1%	16.2%	72.4%	13.1%	13.9%	2.2%
4	5530013	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.7%	1.6%	26.4%	27.4%	71.2%	20.0%	19.3%	4.4%
4	5050004	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	2.7%	1.7%	11.5%	27.1%	75.9%	20.8%	18.3%	9.3%
4	3850649	LONG BEACH	2.7%	5.9%	27.4%	29.6%	71.8%	24.6%	11.8%	4.8%
4	5530001	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	2.8%	6.8%	12.0%	33.9%	75.0%	20.0%	17.3%	5.9%
4	6210002	SANTA CATALINA ISL	2.8%	36.4%	33.3%	58.3%	45.5%	43.5%	18.4%	9.3%
4	5050014	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	2.8%	5.0%	20.0%	25.0%	66.7%	16.7%	12.0%	4.9%
4	4100047	MANHATTAN BEACH	2.9%	2.4%	20.5%	12.5%	70.3%	32.5%	10.8%	2.5%
4	5730013	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	2.9%	5.4%	12.9%	33.3%	72.2%	15.3%	15.7%	6.2%
4	5530048	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.0%	3.0%	22.0%	26.8%	57.4%	26.2%	13.8%	9.8%
4	4100018	MANHATTAN BEACH	3.0%	4.5%	13.4%	19.1%	70.1%	31.7%	12.0%	5.1%
4	5700002	ROLLING HILLS	3.0%	3.2%	13.0%	43.8%	45.2%	27.8%	19.4%	3.1%
4	5530030	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.0%	2.9%	12.5%	14.3%	63.6%	15.5%	28.3%	3.5%
4	5530021	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.0%	5.7%	12.2%	26.9%	68.1%	20.2%	24.0%	8.9%
4	5050005	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	3.0%	8.1%	25.7%	29.3%	66.7%	25.9%	13.4%	5.1%
4	3850254	LONG BEACH	3.1%	10.1%	29.0%	32.9%	72.4%	19.1%	13.5%	5.1%
4	3230006	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	3.2%	25.0%	63.6%	47.1%	70.6%	36.6%	15.7%	7.4%
4	5050006	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	3.2%	4.4%	18.4%	20.0%	68.2%	19.8%	20.5%	7.5%
4	5530055	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.2%	11.7%	13.7%	29.0%	72.2%	24.5%	21.0%	5.6%
4	4150051	MARINA DEL REY	3.4%	12.9%	20.0%	21.2%	74.1%	23.8%	27.5%	9.3%
4	5050008	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	3.5%	5.1%	13.9%	17.5%	56.4%	23.2%	15.3%	8.2%
4	5530015	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.5%	6.9%	20.0%	37.9%	88.9%	18.8%	13.8%	9.3%
4	5730005	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	3.6%	1.8%	14.9%	25.5%	60.4%	26.2%	14.0%	6.0%
4	4100061	MANHATTAN BEACH	3.6%	9.9%	14.3%	17.5%	66.2%	31.6%	14.7%	9.3%
4	4100023	MANHATTAN BEACH	3.6%	3.7%	4.2%	27.2%	63.5%	32.6%	6.3%	7.8%
4	5530042	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.6%	6.9%	25.6%	26.3%	63.3%	24.0%	16.3%	6.7%
4	5050002	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	3.7%	7.0%	14.3%	29.0%	55.6%	16.8%	6.3%	1.1%
4	5050007	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	3.7%	6.5%	17.1%	11.9%	64.3%	17.9%	18.4%	7.5%
4	5530007	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.7%	10.6%	18.6%	25.8%	69.8%	24.0%	19.0%	8.3%
4	3850411	LONG BEACH	3.8%	10.5%	8.4%	37.9%	68.2%	31.2%	13.7%	6.2%
4	3850279	LONG BEACH	3.8%	3.9%	24.5%	32.7%	64.0%	28.7%	17.3%	9.3%
4	3850255	LONG BEACH	3.8%	11.8%	23.9%	22.0%	72.9%	33.1%	12.7%	6.1%
4	7150076	TORRANCE	3.8%	17.2%	25.0%	38.1%	76.3%	33.3%	18.9%	5.3%
4	5730001	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	3.8%	7.9%	24.2%	31.6%	50.0%	12.2%	12.2%	3.0%
4	3850280	LONG BEACH	3.9%	4.5%	27.8%	30.0%	68.3%	33.1%	14.3%	4.6%
4	5530040	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.9%	7.7%	19.7%	22.4%	52.5%	23.9%	18.0%	9.3%
4	4100014	MANHATTAN BEACH	3.9%	9.8%	15.8%	27.1%	78.4%	34.1%	14.0%	9.3%
4	5530033	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.9%	4.3%	21.4%	14.9%	76.2%	25.0%	14.0%	9.3%
4	4100044	MANHATTAN BEACH	3.9%	4.5%	11.3%	28.8%	66.1%	20.2%	12.6%	4.6%
4	3300056	LA MIRADA	3.9%	16.5%	31.7%	38.2%	53.3%	21.5%	13.1%	6.5%
4	5530054	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	3.9%	15.2%	24.4%	26.1%	57.8%	20.0%	11.9%	8.8%
4	4150050	MARINA DEL REY	3.9%	9.0%	21.7%	26.9%	81.3%	37.1%	18.7%	13.6%
4	4100009	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.0%	15.2%	14.0%	28.6%	59.5%	45.0%	10.5%	5.4%
4	5530018	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.0%	4.9%	21.1%	23.9%	51.1%	16.5%	21.9%	5.8%
4	5530041	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.0%	17.0%	28.3%	24.6%	64.7%	20.7%	19.3%	5.5%
4	3850292	LONG BEACH	4.0%	12.2%	34.1%	46.4%	69.1%	30.0%	19.4%	10.7%
4	2250020	EL SEGUNDO	4.1%	13.3%	18.2%	34.5%	67.3%	43.1%	18.4%	7.2%
4	5530006	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.1%	2.6%	16.4%	26.7%	72.9%	13.0%	15.9%	7.5%
4	7150121	TORRANCE	4.1%	7.2%	24.3%	38.1%	63.7%	26.4%	17.4%	10.8%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	5730008	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	4.2%	13.9%	35.7%	30.6%	81.3%	36.5%	18.4%	8.3%
4	5530016	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.2%	10.6%	13.3%	20.0%	55.6%	22.0%	18.0%	4.5%
4	5550013	REDONDO BEACH	4.2%	8.3%	22.1%	36.8%	68.5%	37.7%	16.7%	11.6%
4	9002432	LOS ANGELES	4.2%	7.1%	26.6%	28.0%	68.2%	26.4%	20.1%	6.0%
4	4100013	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.2%	3.6%	13.2%	17.5%	60.0%	20.7%	16.5%	9.3%
4	5530010	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.2%	5.7%	21.4%	25.0%	60.0%	19.4%	21.1%	9.3%
4	7150104	TORRANCE	4.2%	6.5%	74.5%	29.6%	60.4%	26.2%	10.6%	9.3%
4	3850259	LONG BEACH	4.3%	8.9%	24.2%	28.8%	76.4%	30.5%	12.2%	9.3%
4	3850281	LONG BEACH	4.3%	9.2%	40.0%	39.1%	70.9%	28.9%	14.2%	6.7%
4	4100027	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.3%	10.7%	16.8%	27.6%	59.8%	22.8%	20.0%	5.6%
4	4100004	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.3%	9.5%	27.0%	27.9%	61.5%	28.8%	19.3%	9.3%
4	9002438	LOS ANGELES	4.3%	6.2%	16.3%	31.6%	62.9%	19.6%	22.1%	4.3%
4	3850290	LONG BEACH	4.4%	3.9%	31.0%	23.4%	73.5%	28.8%	10.3%	6.3%
4	5530036	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.4%	7.7%	26.1%	38.5%	55.6%	12.3%	6.3%	2.5%
4	3850262	LONG BEACH	4.4%	4.7%	33.8%	30.3%	64.6%	37.4%	17.8%	9.3%
4	4150048	MARINA DEL REY	4.4%	12.1%	12.0%	26.3%	68.6%	25.9%	15.7%	10.4%
4	5550004	REDONDO BEACH	4.5%	20.0%	21.7%	32.8%	74.6%	44.9%	22.9%	10.4%
4	2750008	HERMOSA BEACH	4.5%	22.2%	25.0%	35.6%	59.1%	42.9%	20.3%	9.7%
4	7150092	TORRANCE	4.5%	9.9%	14.4%	35.7%	60.0%	35.4%	17.9%	9.3%
4	5530032	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.5%	8.0%	16.3%	13.2%	73.8%	20.8%	15.0%	4.6%
4	4100021	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.6%	10.5%	16.5%	23.6%	71.3%	36.0%	21.8%	9.3%
4	9000040	LOS ANGELES	4.6%	5.4%	15.7%	25.6%	64.8%	33.3%	13.7%	9.3%
4	4100028	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.6%	11.3%	20.3%	31.8%	67.2%	34.0%	9.9%	7.5%
4	7150182	TORRANCE	4.6%	13.8%	12.0%	44.4%	59.1%	39.7%	15.7%	9.3%
4	5550068	REDONDO BEACH	4.6%	15.0%	17.9%	39.5%	61.1%	35.4%	18.4%	10.0%
4	4150044	MARINA DEL REY	4.6%	10.1%	25.9%	25.4%	69.8%	31.2%	18.2%	9.2%
4	2750012	HERMOSA BEACH	4.6%	12.7%	17.3%	30.5%	69.0%	41.6%	20.8%	10.0%
4	4100050	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.6%	7.3%	13.2%	20.9%	67.5%	23.3%	15.1%	9.8%
4	4150047	MARINA DEL REY	4.7%	13.8%	17.5%	21.4%	74.6%	32.2%	14.9%	11.6%
4	4150049	MARINA DEL REY	4.7%	7.4%	20.0%	24.1%	71.4%	37.9%	10.0%	3.8%
4	4100040	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.7%	7.7%	22.4%	34.3%	69.4%	38.9%	20.0%	12.6%
4	5530043	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.7%	3.9%	17.8%	31.3%	55.6%	19.6%	11.5%	1.9%
4	4100034	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.7%	6.6%	13.3%	24.4%	69.1%	25.0%	18.5%	6.0%
4	5050003	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	4.7%	4.3%	15.0%	19.1%	73.0%	15.5%	20.4%	4.9%
4	2750011	HERMOSA BEACH	4.7%	15.0%	12.3%	24.6%	74.6%	36.6%	22.1%	8.0%
4	7150114	TORRANCE	4.8%	8.5%	13.8%	24.6%	60.7%	31.0%	15.2%	8.5%
4	5530031	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	4.8%	8.1%	25.0%	41.7%	66.7%	31.9%	24.1%	5.5%
4	9002415	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	11.5%	16.7%	25.6%	68.6%	29.2%	18.9%	7.5%
4	9002689	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	5.7%	13.2%	27.0%	60.6%	23.5%	17.2%	9.3%
4	4100002	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.9%	12.5%	13.0%	22.4%	82.5%	35.0%	18.4%	2.7%
4	4100017	MANHATTAN BEACH	4.9%	10.1%	18.7%	28.8%	72.5%	31.3%	22.1%	10.8%
4	7150154	TORRANCE	4.9%	5.4%	10.5%	27.6%	67.3%	22.8%	10.9%	5.0%
4	2750001	HERMOSA BEACH	5.0%	13.5%	15.5%	30.6%	67.7%	36.9%	16.3%	9.3%
4	3850469	LONG BEACH	5.0%	12.5%	45.5%	33.3%	76.2%	32.3%	18.4%	17.2%
4	4100033	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.1%	10.5%	21.8%	28.6%	71.1%	39.3%	21.2%	9.3%
4	4100007	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.1%	6.7%	11.6%	29.5%	64.9%	30.9%	21.5%	6.2%
4	4100001	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.1%	16.7%	12.0%	40.0%	66.7%	31.4%	20.0%	5.9%
4	4100005	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.1%	12.1%	14.3%	27.7%	71.2%	31.3%	18.4%	9.3%
4	4100048	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.1%	15.5%	21.6%	27.2%	80.2%	33.8%	23.3%	9.3%
4	4100016	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.2%	5.6%	12.5%	26.8%	60.0%	35.3%	14.3%	9.3%
4	5550071	REDONDO BEACH	5.2%	13.8%	14.6%	35.7%	61.0%	36.3%	14.1%	5.6%
4	5530059	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	5.2%	10.0%	27.0%	39.0%	75.0%	20.0%	18.4%	6.3%
4	2750004	HERMOSA BEACH	5.2%	10.2%	22.8%	26.3%	57.9%	46.7%	19.1%	9.3%
4	2750010	HERMOSA BEACH	5.2%	11.0%	16.3%	23.5%	73.2%	26.4%	10.9%	10.4%
4	3850481	LONG BEACH	5.2%	7.5%	27.6%	39.1%	68.8%	28.2%	17.2%	9.3%
4	5530039	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	5.2%	6.5%	22.9%	21.4%	61.2%	27.5%	25.0%	9.3%
4	7150161	TORRANCE	5.3%	27.7%	28.9%	42.6%	73.9%	47.9%	15.1%	7.0%
4	4100031	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.3%	8.9%	14.6%	28.4%	69.6%	26.7%	20.7%	8.5%
4	7150201	TORRANCE	5.3%	3.8%	12.0%	37.0%	75.5%	25.7%	17.2%	7.4%
4	7150115	TORRANCE	5.3%	15.6%	13.3%	19.1%	76.1%	36.0%	18.4%	9.3%
4	4100019	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.3%	10.5%	10.9%	21.1%	69.0%	26.7%	21.8%	5.1%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	4100026	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.4%	4.2%	11.5%	28.4%	67.8%	30.9%	26.3%	9.2%
4	7150038	TORRANCE	5.4%	6.5%	24.0%	36.1%	52.6%	32.5%	11.2%	4.2%
4	3850283	LONG BEACH	5.4%	16.7%	25.5%	30.2%	78.0%	31.7%	19.5%	8.1%
4	4100012	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.4%	10.5%	9.8%	23.4%	67.9%	27.0%	18.4%	6.7%
4	3850258	LONG BEACH	5.4%	8.2%	24.3%	27.9%	59.3%	26.3%	15.2%	6.3%
4	5730003	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	5.5%	13.3%	25.5%	38.1%	61.5%	23.5%	19.4%	7.0%
4	2750028	HERMOSA BEACH	5.5%	8.1%	20.0%	40.5%	66.7%	32.0%	18.8%	9.1%
4	5530053	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	5.5%	7.1%	10.0%	27.9%	63.9%	25.6%	15.7%	4.7%
4	2750003	HERMOSA BEACH	5.6%	11.4%	13.2%	15.5%	66.7%	35.4%	14.9%	2.8%
4	7150106	TORRANCE	5.6%	8.3%	18.1%	38.8%	52.8%	30.0%	21.0%	9.3%
4	2750014	HERMOSA BEACH	5.6%	16.7%	15.2%	29.5%	68.5%	36.1%	21.2%	9.3%
4	2750009	HERMOSA BEACH	5.7%	13.9%	8.3%	21.0%	68.1%	31.4%	12.4%	9.3%
4	7150073	TORRANCE	5.7%	10.9%	21.7%	50.5%	68.5%	39.8%	16.1%	5.1%
4	3850285	LONG BEACH	5.7%	6.7%	23.5%	23.8%	57.4%	20.5%	15.7%	6.1%
4	5550056	REDONDO BEACH	5.8%	10.8%	19.4%	27.3%	71.4%	38.2%	21.4%	9.3%
4	7150046	TORRANCE	5.8%	11.1%	18.2%	27.1%	70.1%	24.2%	23.3%	8.9%
4	2750016	HERMOSA BEACH	5.8%	11.0%	22.0%	30.8%	67.3%	36.2%	11.6%	9.3%
4	7150102	TORRANCE	5.8%	11.7%	24.5%	43.4%	68.7%	29.1%	20.0%	8.3%
4	7150007	TORRANCE	5.8%	9.2%	6.0%	25.7%	77.0%	29.5%	17.0%	9.3%
4	4100025	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.9%	12.8%	11.0%	27.5%	68.1%	37.1%	20.2%	5.8%
4	2250003	EL SEGUNDO	5.9%	13.1%	18.6%	33.8%	58.2%	35.3%	15.7%	5.6%
4	4100008	MANHATTAN BEACH	5.9%	4.9%	23.3%	20.0%	66.7%	28.3%	36.7%	12.5%
4	5050012	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	5.9%	3.9%	10.9%	20.4%	69.8%	13.0%	14.0%	3.1%
4	3850447	LONG BEACH	5.9%	15.2%	33.0%	39.4%	67.2%	31.8%	16.6%	9.3%
4	7150064	TORRANCE	6.0%	9.7%	13.8%	41.1%	62.5%	24.9%	14.9%	7.7%
4	4150041	MARINA DEL REY	6.0%	15.2%	24.2%	17.9%	65.0%	27.2%	15.9%	9.3%
4	5550006	REDONDO BEACH	6.0%	11.9%	31.6%	20.5%	72.2%	33.3%	20.0%	9.3%
4	2750026	HERMOSA BEACH	6.1%	13.0%	17.5%	32.6%	67.5%	34.8%	20.6%	6.7%
4	1780021	DIAMOND BAR	6.1%	9.7%	45.8%	18.2%	57.1%	31.6%	15.6%	7.6%
4	7150093	TORRANCE	6.1%	13.3%	10.0%	35.9%	49.1%	38.0%	18.3%	2.1%
4	5550048	REDONDO BEACH	6.1%	18.2%	23.5%	26.8%	73.6%	36.9%	25.0%	12.1%
4	3850052	LONG BEACH	6.1%	8.5%	28.7%	38.0%	66.7%	29.2%	19.6%	9.3%
4	2750013	HERMOSA BEACH	6.2%	17.5%	14.0%	25.4%	76.8%	43.2%	20.3%	8.2%
4	5530080	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	6.2%	11.1%	18.5%	41.4%	73.1%	35.0%	8.1%	9.3%
4	5550010	REDONDO BEACH	6.3%	6.6%	13.5%	26.0%	63.9%	25.2%	11.7%	7.5%
4	3850562	LONG BEACH	6.3%	15.0%	44.6%	33.0%	67.0%	30.9%	20.0%	8.3%
4	3850328	LONG BEACH	6.3%	11.1%	29.2%	39.1%	73.6%	23.6%	17.2%	9.3%
4	2750015	HERMOSA BEACH	6.3%	12.7%	24.1%	34.5%	67.9%	40.0%	15.4%	20.2%
4	3850335	LONG BEACH	6.4%	10.1%	28.8%	43.6%	52.1%	33.6%	17.6%	4.5%
4	7150112	TORRANCE	6.4%	11.3%	13.8%	39.4%	68.2%	31.4%	10.5%	10.6%
4	5550060	REDONDO BEACH	6.4%	16.0%	16.0%	40.7%	65.4%	28.1%	12.1%	1.6%
4	2250002	EL SEGUNDO	6.4%	10.5%	14.0%	33.9%	63.6%	35.6%	15.1%	9.3%
4	2250007	EL SEGUNDO	6.5%	16.1%	20.0%	36.8%	66.7%	37.6%	11.6%	9.2%
4	5550008	REDONDO BEACH	6.5%	16.0%	25.5%	33.3%	67.4%	29.4%	25.8%	7.4%
4	3850337	LONG BEACH	6.5%	10.4%	24.7%	24.1%	64.8%	40.3%	17.2%	9.3%
4	2750017	HERMOSA BEACH	6.5%	13.9%	18.8%	20.8%	72.1%	38.5%	13.0%	13.5%
4	5530037	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	6.6%	11.1%	18.8%	42.3%	54.5%	26.0%	28.6%	1.3%
4	7150308	TORRANCE	6.6%	9.4%	15.4%	32.7%	64.6%	36.9%	17.7%	6.5%
4	7150146	TORRANCE	6.6%	17.9%	20.4%	33.3%	62.0%	37.5%	25.8%	12.5%
4	9002433	LOS ANGELES	6.6%	7.0%	19.6%	31.5%	67.3%	21.5%	22.9%	9.3%
4	4100035	MANHATTAN BEACH	6.6%	10.0%	16.2%	27.1%	62.1%	27.0%	11.2%	4.8%
4	9006026	LOS ANGELES	6.6%	16.4%	22.6%	38.7%	61.5%	24.4%	22.0%	6.3%
4	7150032	TORRANCE	6.7%	7.6%	21.3%	53.8%	56.0%	36.0%	13.2%	8.7%
4	7150044	TORRANCE	6.7%	12.6%	13.8%	45.4%	51.7%	31.4%	16.6%	4.7%
4	7150082	TORRANCE	6.7%	6.9%	25.0%	39.1%	71.4%	38.0%	22.6%	6.3%
4	5550026	REDONDO BEACH	6.7%	13.4%	29.0%	44.8%	68.8%	44.0%	12.3%	10.7%
4	2750023	HERMOSA BEACH	6.7%	25.0%	31.8%	33.3%	61.2%	33.3%	21.0%	9.3%
4	3850223	LONG BEACH	6.8%	7.2%	20.0%	25.2%	75.7%	31.8%	12.3%	9.3%
4	7150108	TORRANCE	6.8%	7.4%	12.2%	25.0%	68.1%	28.6%	13.6%	7.7%
4	7150084	TORRANCE	6.9%	12.5%	11.4%	38.5%	52.9%	31.8%	16.3%	5.0%
4	7150031	TORRANCE	6.9%	8.7%	20.8%	36.5%	61.5%	31.6%	14.0%	6.0%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	3850287	LONG BEACH	7.0%	5.6%	30.8%	29.9%	68.7%	33.8%	13.3%	7.8%
4	3850291	LONG BEACH	7.0%	12.5%	24.4%	14.9%	65.1%	29.1%	13.7%	6.1%
4	3850445	LONG BEACH	7.0%	6.6%	22.0%	33.9%	60.7%	34.8%	12.8%	10.0%
4	7150109	TORRANCE	7.0%	11.8%	17.2%	36.2%	57.0%	30.2%	14.5%	9.3%
4	5550012	REDONDO BEACH	7.1%	9.8%	15.2%	28.3%	71.4%	45.5%	16.0%	9.1%
4	5550005	REDONDO BEACH	7.1%	9.6%	20.0%	22.6%	67.3%	29.8%	15.2%	8.1%
4	3850275	LONG BEACH	7.1%	8.3%	20.3%	22.3%	60.9%	34.4%	15.9%	10.9%
4	5550069	REDONDO BEACH	7.1%	11.9%	5.5%	23.0%	69.4%	24.3%	8.3%	11.1%
4	7150067	TORRANCE	7.2%	10.6%	11.1%	50.5%	63.6%	28.5%	20.4%	9.3%
4	3850271	LONG BEACH	7.2%	7.7%	32.1%	21.3%	73.6%	35.0%	11.5%	9.3%
4	3850018	LONG BEACH	7.3%	6.5%	18.5%	21.4%	75.0%	27.9%	18.4%	9.3%
4	9000004	LOS ANGELES	7.3%	16.7%	24.6%	41.9%	69.5%	36.3%	19.8%	9.8%
4	7150077	TORRANCE	7.3%	11.8%	25.3%	32.6%	66.7%	31.9%	22.7%	11.9%
4	7150098	TORRANCE	7.3%	6.8%	16.0%	41.9%	56.5%	25.9%	19.8%	11.0%
4	3850302	LONG BEACH	7.4%	7.1%	23.1%	33.9%	72.8%	28.5%	19.5%	7.8%
4	5550090	REDONDO BEACH	7.4%	8.5%	16.1%	47.6%	83.6%	21.3%	19.5%	3.7%
4	2250018	EL SEGUNDO	7.4%	6.2%	20.7%	33.3%	68.9%	40.4%	20.8%	7.0%
4	7150094	TORRANCE	7.4%	18.0%	20.7%	44.2%	66.0%	35.4%	25.9%	8.5%
4	3850268	LONG BEACH	7.4%	8.1%	15.6%	20.8%	75.0%	34.2%	22.7%	9.3%
4	7150037	TORRANCE	7.4%	15.1%	20.5%	44.6%	55.6%	32.0%	17.8%	9.1%
4	7150096	TORRANCE	7.5%	2.1%	13.5%	42.2%	52.3%	34.6%	9.1%	9.3%
4	3850051	LONG BEACH	7.5%	4.1%	28.1%	41.0%	70.8%	36.8%	16.0%	9.2%
4	7150144	TORRANCE	7.5%	18.8%	21.3%	42.5%	68.0%	29.7%	19.9%	9.3%
4	5550017	REDONDO BEACH	7.6%	13.3%	17.1%	21.3%	63.6%	37.3%	12.8%	9.3%
4	3850560	LONG BEACH	7.6%	16.1%	29.1%	25.3%	70.5%	36.4%	14.4%	11.6%
4	5550024	REDONDO BEACH	7.6%	6.6%	18.6%	21.7%	63.8%	20.8%	15.0%	9.3%
4	9003368	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	10.6%	28.8%	37.1%	71.9%	33.1%	25.3%	9.3%
4	5550001	REDONDO BEACH	7.7%	21.6%	16.0%	46.2%	62.5%	50.8%	11.5%	9.3%
4	7150078	TORRANCE	7.7%	12.7%	20.8%	38.4%	68.4%	38.9%	14.5%	9.3%
4	7150081	TORRANCE	7.8%	17.2%	17.4%	37.5%	63.7%	39.5%	19.2%	9.3%
4	5550070	REDONDO BEACH	7.8%	12.7%	36.1%	40.3%	60.3%	36.1%	17.6%	13.0%
4	7150015	TORRANCE	7.8%	11.2%	19.8%	50.0%	68.4%	45.0%	25.7%	9.3%
4	9002688	LOS ANGELES	7.8%	13.4%	16.9%	35.4%	62.7%	26.8%	17.2%	6.5%
4	3850276	LONG BEACH	7.8%	8.1%	32.3%	27.1%	60.3%	25.9%	10.9%	8.4%
4	3850539	LONG BEACH	7.8%	6.9%	28.9%	36.7%	62.6%	28.8%	13.4%	9.3%
4	9004215	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	9.1%	17.2%	42.0%	66.2%	36.7%	21.7%	9.3%
4	7150097	TORRANCE	7.9%	10.0%	34.8%	34.0%	72.0%	45.1%	15.7%	5.3%
4	4100036	MANHATTAN BEACH	7.9%	12.0%	14.7%	23.4%	67.4%	27.9%	16.0%	9.3%
4	7150301	TORRANCE	7.9%	13.1%	31.5%	40.7%	67.7%	33.7%	17.3%	9.3%
4	7150039	TORRANCE	7.9%	14.5%	25.0%	40.3%	57.1%	36.4%	14.4%	6.6%
4	2250006	EL SEGUNDO	7.9%	13.1%	13.1%	36.7%	65.5%	37.6%	20.2%	7.5%
4	3850080	LONG BEACH	8.0%	11.5%	54.9%	50.0%	64.3%	45.2%	14.8%	8.2%
4	7150045	TORRANCE	8.0%	5.1%	20.9%	43.1%	70.6%	38.1%	14.2%	7.9%
4	7150065	TORRANCE	8.1%	21.5%	24.6%	37.7%	71.0%	34.5%	10.2%	9.3%
4	3850264	LONG BEACH	8.1%	14.3%	24.1%	37.3%	67.6%	37.1%	9.3%	16.7%
4	7150132	TORRANCE	8.1%	15.9%	20.0%	33.3%	68.3%	43.6%	14.4%	8.9%
4	3230005	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	8.1%	17.4%	33.3%	40.9%	68.2%	35.9%	12.9%	6.8%
4	3850399	LONG BEACH	8.2%	7.1%	24.7%	42.1%	51.2%	38.7%	11.7%	9.3%
4	2750019	HERMOSA BEACH	8.2%	15.2%	24.1%	29.7%	67.0%	41.8%	19.0%	9.3%
4	6450005	SIGNAL HILL	8.2%	9.1%	30.8%	39.6%	66.3%	33.6%	12.4%	6.6%
4	3850647	LONG BEACH	8.2%	14.5%	41.7%	34.0%	69.2%	40.9%	21.8%	15.2%
4	3850639	LONG BEACH	8.2%	10.4%	29.0%	35.6%	59.8%	37.5%	19.6%	9.3%
4	3450023	LAKEWOOD	8.2%	21.7%	34.0%	61.8%	57.4%	42.5%	16.4%	7.2%
4	3850272	LONG BEACH	8.2%	9.9%	39.1%	24.3%	70.8%	38.1%	17.3%	9.3%
4	9006348	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	12.0%	18.3%	40.9%	68.8%	30.7%	20.4%	3.5%
4	5530005	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	8.3%	12.2%	18.4%	20.5%	62.2%	29.3%	18.7%	4.2%
4	5530082	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	8.3%	2.2%	15.2%	34.1%	71.4%	33.3%	16.1%	9.3%
4	1070036	CERRITOS	8.3%	10.5%	27.4%	44.9%	72.1%	43.7%	25.3%	10.3%
4	7150070	TORRANCE	8.3%	12.7%	28.6%	40.0%	69.4%	33.3%	22.6%	10.2%
4	5550019	REDONDO BEACH	8.4%	12.0%	13.8%	31.6%	73.1%	29.5%	24.2%	9.3%
4	9006398	LOS ANGELES	8.5%	12.6%	29.7%	41.3%	64.9%	26.7%	25.0%	9.2%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	7150071	TORRANCE	8.5%	13.8%	18.5%	37.8%	65.4%	39.9%	18.9%	9.0%
4	5550063	REDONDO BEACH	8.5%	4.9%	16.2%	38.5%	68.9%	36.9%	20.0%	11.1%
4	4850002	OCEAN VIEW	8.5%	25.0%	43.8%	40.0%	60.0%	32.4%	14.3%	18.8%
4	3850295	LONG BEACH	8.5%	6.1%	23.3%	44.3%	63.2%	37.3%	18.9%	9.3%
4	3850201	LONG BEACH	8.5%	9.0%	33.3%	27.0%	54.2%	40.0%	21.5%	9.3%
4	3850222	LONG BEACH	8.5%	7.0%	30.0%	34.8%	67.2%	31.2%	17.4%	9.3%
4	7150061	TORRANCE	8.5%	13.3%	17.0%	47.4%	68.0%	36.2%	29.2%	9.3%
4	3850371	LONG BEACH	8.6%	15.5%	39.2%	48.2%	61.0%	38.5%	16.0%	10.1%
4	7150087	TORRANCE	8.6%	18.5%	25.9%	53.0%	64.6%	37.6%	14.3%	9.3%
4	3850256	LONG BEACH	8.7%	9.5%	22.5%	22.6%	65.9%	32.5%	15.3%	9.3%
4	3230001	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	8.7%	21.0%	39.2%	39.4%	65.0%	29.3%	13.7%	3.8%
4	3850304	LONG BEACH	8.7%	7.0%	35.5%	47.8%	70.6%	42.8%	11.6%	9.3%
4	5550046	REDONDO BEACH	8.7%	18.8%	21.7%	31.4%	73.2%	39.5%	31.5%	9.3%
4	3850213	LONG BEACH	8.7%	6.3%	32.2%	24.3%	68.9%	34.1%	17.9%	9.3%
4	7150300	TORRANCE	8.8%	4.9%	14.4%	51.4%	66.7%	37.9%	19.2%	9.3%
4	3850289	LONG BEACH	8.8%	6.5%	27.5%	15.6%	85.4%	27.5%	13.7%	3.0%
4	7150063	TORRANCE	8.8%	13.9%	22.2%	53.8%	55.3%	30.2%	19.6%	9.3%
4	3850367	LONG BEACH	8.8%	9.3%	15.1%	38.3%	60.4%	34.0%	19.2%	6.6%
4	2250009	EL SEGUNDO	8.8%	21.2%	23.7%	25.8%	69.0%	34.5%	22.6%	9.3%
4	9002429	LOS ANGELES	8.8%	16.7%	20.9%	31.4%	56.3%	26.1%	26.2%	9.9%
4	3850680	LONG BEACH	8.8%	7.7%	34.5%	32.2%	71.3%	45.2%	21.9%	9.3%
4	9000452	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	8.4%	28.4%	38.1%	65.3%	30.3%	25.6%	9.3%
4	7150055	TORRANCE	8.9%	20.7%	28.4%	42.5%	62.2%	40.6%	18.1%	9.4%
4	9006350	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	6.7%	17.5%	48.9%	58.5%	26.8%	17.3%	9.3%
4	3850351	LONG BEACH	9.0%	10.3%	48.9%	36.8%	73.7%	49.4%	18.4%	12.8%
4	7150101	TORRANCE	9.1%	13.0%	25.9%	35.3%	62.5%	32.8%	18.4%	9.3%
4	3230003	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	9.1%	14.0%	26.3%	23.1%	73.2%	32.8%	9.9%	3.7%
4	7150041	TORRANCE	9.1%	10.5%	19.6%	46.3%	65.3%	40.4%	22.1%	6.7%
4	5550098	REDONDO BEACH	9.1%	17.2%	33.3%	34.4%	67.2%	47.0%	34.2%	9.0%
4	5550016	REDONDO BEACH	9.2%	13.3%	16.0%	27.6%	81.3%	35.2%	21.3%	9.3%
4	2250004	EL SEGUNDO	9.2%	15.7%	24.7%	45.8%	73.0%	42.7%	23.0%	9.2%
4	3850217	LONG BEACH	9.2%	11.8%	35.6%	35.6%	66.7%	45.0%	15.2%	9.3%
4	3850097	LONG BEACH	9.2%	24.5%	43.4%	46.7%	59.1%	38.1%	26.6%	15.6%
4	2250030	EL SEGUNDO	9.2%	15.0%	17.1%	47.5%	75.0%	40.2%	27.6%	7.6%
4	2250012	EL SEGUNDO	9.2%	12.5%	19.0%	41.3%	65.1%	41.6%	18.4%	10.7%
4	5550044	REDONDO BEACH	9.2%	10.0%	20.0%	42.3%	69.1%	45.8%	9.4%	8.8%
4	7150017	TORRANCE	9.3%	15.4%	31.9%	47.4%	60.0%	27.2%	19.1%	9.3%
4	5550015	REDONDO BEACH	9.3%	3.4%	15.4%	33.9%	75.0%	23.9%	29.9%	9.3%
4	3850075	LONG BEACH	9.3%	14.5%	37.1%	40.0%	68.4%	45.5%	22.0%	7.1%
4	3850533	LONG BEACH	9.3%	6.6%	39.2%	33.3%	73.8%	42.3%	24.8%	9.3%
4	3850535	LONG BEACH	9.3%	9.3%	21.3%	42.9%	59.3%	32.7%	18.1%	9.3%
4	3850305	LONG BEACH	9.4%	10.2%	34.4%	35.6%	63.4%	35.6%	18.4%	7.5%
4	930001	BONNER	9.4%	15.6%	34.3%	46.7%	55.6%	32.2%	17.1%	9.3%
4	7150131	TORRANCE	9.4%	17.7%	23.5%	38.3%	64.2%	37.2%	17.3%	9.1%
4	9002562	LOS ANGELES	9.4%	12.1%	27.0%	39.2%	70.0%	26.7%	21.5%	4.7%
4	3850376	LONG BEACH	9.5%	17.4%	26.7%	47.1%	56.1%	39.5%	15.0%	9.3%
4	5550050	REDONDO BEACH	9.5%	12.1%	21.0%	27.0%	61.3%	34.2%	17.1%	9.3%
4	5550022	REDONDO BEACH	9.5%	10.6%	16.9%	50.0%	72.5%	43.1%	19.5%	5.8%
4	7150009	TORRANCE	9.5%	19.8%	20.0%	57.1%	73.1%	35.6%	16.1%	10.7%
4	3850688	LONG BEACH	9.6%	16.7%	20.0%	35.5%	66.7%	35.9%	13.9%	7.3%
4	2250017	EL SEGUNDO	9.6%	13.8%	20.7%	35.6%	69.0%	35.8%	17.4%	9.3%
4	3850363	LONG BEACH	9.6%	16.3%	31.6%	48.3%	61.3%	38.2%	20.3%	9.3%
4	3850198	LONG BEACH	9.7%	16.2%	32.0%	37.7%	70.3%	43.1%	18.6%	9.3%
4	7150125	TORRANCE	9.7%	23.4%	36.4%	53.8%	71.9%	42.7%	28.4%	9.3%
4	3850545	LONG BEACH	9.7%	6.2%	54.1%	28.4%	74.6%	37.8%	21.5%	9.3%
4	7150034	TORRANCE	9.7%	8.2%	19.4%	44.7%	69.9%	33.6%	15.1%	8.6%
4	3850321	LONG BEACH	9.7%	9.4%	37.5%	36.9%	68.8%	41.1%	17.7%	4.6%
4	3850630	LONG BEACH	9.7%	7.8%	28.8%	38.5%	53.8%	35.3%	16.0%	9.3%
4	3450043	LAKEWOOD	9.8%	6.7%	34.8%	41.4%	64.3%	41.5%	17.6%	8.0%
4	7150068	TORRANCE	9.8%	19.8%	27.8%	52.7%	74.1%	34.8%	16.7%	9.5%
4	500002	AVALON	9.8%	21.6%	25.8%	38.2%	78.8%	48.9%	29.5%	18.6%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
4	3850366	LONG BEACH	9.8%	14.5%	41.4%	50.8%	69.6%	39.1%	26.7%	12.4%
4	3850199	LONG BEACH	9.9%	10.2%	42.2%	35.3%	62.0%	35.4%	20.8%	10.4%
4	2250001	EL SEGUNDO	9.9%	19.0%	18.0%	49.1%	74.5%	35.3%	22.3%	7.3%
4	9002698	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	9.6%	15.9%	30.0%	54.7%	29.2%	10.7%	4.9%
4	3850636	LONG BEACH	9.9%	4.7%	32.6%	39.8%	71.3%	29.0%	16.1%	9.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	3150009	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	2.8%	9.9%	33.3%	23.2%	85.1%	38.8%	22.3%	10.2%
5	3150023	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	2.8%	10.3%	32.0%	17.9%	63.0%	27.3%	10.9%	6.2%
5	6200018	SAN MARINO	3.1%	10.0%	35.7%	18.6%	51.6%	22.1%	17.0%	3.8%
5	6200006	SAN MARINO	3.1%	8.3%	50.0%	52.2%	55.0%	23.0%	19.5%	9.3%
5	1770015	DESERT	3.2%	8.1%	41.9%	54.3%	73.5%	22.2%	17.1%	5.9%
5	6220076	SANTA CLARITA	3.3%	8.0%	20.5%	44.4%	47.8%	24.1%	14.2%	5.1%
5	1750052	DEL SUR	3.3%	12.0%	12.0%	50.0%	61.9%	28.1%	16.9%	3.2%
5	5150003	PASADENA	3.4%	6.8%	38.2%	39.5%	89.5%	41.5%	23.8%	14.1%
5	1300008	CLAREMONT	3.4%	4.5%	24.5%	15.4%	85.8%	22.4%	25.4%	9.1%
5	5150014	PASADENA	3.4%	15.9%	31.0%	13.7%	62.5%	29.9%	22.7%	6.5%
5	3200003	LA CRESCENTA	3.4%	4.9%	19.1%	28.3%	68.6%	25.0%	22.5%	5.8%
5	9003128	LOS ANGELES	3.5%	6.8%	28.0%	29.5%	59.3%	25.2%	15.0%	4.3%
5	3500004	ARCADIA	3.6%	8.6%	34.1%	30.5%	72.2%	36.8%	15.4%	7.8%
5	3150008	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	3.6%	7.9%	50.0%	22.5%	65.8%	23.3%	20.0%	1.1%
5	3150018	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	3.7%	12.1%	27.3%	33.3%	66.7%	30.7%	17.6%	8.3%
5	350036	ARCADIA	3.8%	16.1%	20.8%	42.9%	65.5%	33.0%	19.6%	5.4%
5	5150187	PASADENA	3.8%	9.4%	35.3%	18.3%	76.7%	31.8%	18.3%	7.3%
5	6200011	SAN MARINO	3.8%	3.2%	34.6%	39.4%	64.5%	21.4%	20.4%	8.5%
5	3150025	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	3.9%	17.9%	32.6%	26.7%	61.0%	26.9%	21.7%	7.5%
5	350001	ARCADIA	4.0%	10.2%	33.9%	32.8%	64.2%	25.8%	18.6%	7.4%
5	3150021	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	4.0%	7.0%	20.0%	20.0%	54.5%	32.1%	27.1%	6.9%
5	2550025	GLENDALE	4.0%	11.7%	41.6%	34.0%	73.9%	31.2%	22.9%	9.3%
5	3200006	LA CRESCENTA	4.1%	12.0%	31.3%	36.4%	70.4%	32.2%	18.5%	9.3%
5	9006416	LOS ANGELES	4.4%	15.2%	28.8%	39.3%	58.5%	36.2%	18.4%	7.0%
5	2550010	GLENDALE	4.5%	11.4%	36.2%	42.9%	62.2%	30.3%	17.8%	7.0%
5	2550178	GLENDALE	4.5%	6.1%	27.0%	39.6%	68.3%	31.7%	17.0%	5.2%
5	3150032	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	4.5%	9.7%	31.6%	30.3%	71.4%	29.5%	18.9%	2.6%
5	6200016	SAN MARINO	4.6%	11.3%	30.8%	30.6%	70.8%	17.4%	10.6%	3.3%
5	2550039	GLENDALE	4.6%	11.0%	33.3%	47.6%	57.1%	26.2%	21.2%	4.3%
5	3150012	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	4.6%	13.0%	32.8%	27.4%	73.5%	36.4%	19.0%	6.0%
5	2550001	GLENDALE	4.7%	7.4%	37.3%	38.1%	64.1%	25.9%	14.9%	7.2%
5	200038	ALTADENA	4.7%	9.0%	38.2%	19.1%	71.6%	43.8%	12.8%	6.8%
5	5150188	PASADENA	4.8%	7.5%	36.4%	29.7%	76.0%	31.4%	28.2%	8.2%
5	9003055	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	6.9%	29.5%	43.7%	64.8%	23.8%	25.4%	5.5%
5	3150016	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	4.8%	5.1%	30.8%	31.6%	79.7%	29.2%	17.7%	3.2%
5	9003613	LOS ANGELES	4.8%	12.1%	24.5%	34.8%	47.4%	20.4%	14.9%	5.3%
5	200030	ALTADENA	4.9%	14.4%	29.9%	19.6%	67.6%	33.1%	14.8%	5.8%
5	9002968	LOS ANGELES	4.9%	7.9%	17.6%	29.2%	56.3%	25.2%	27.6%	9.3%
5	5150211	PASADENA	5.0%	11.5%	22.2%	25.0%	63.6%	30.6%	15.5%	6.9%
5	6220103	SANTA CLARITA	5.0%	7.5%	23.3%	37.8%	54.3%	25.0%	18.4%	2.4%
5	350035	ARCADIA	5.0%	8.7%	45.7%	53.1%	50.0%	30.9%	16.7%	8.4%
5	6220098	SANTA CLARITA	5.0%	7.9%	26.5%	44.7%	84.6%	33.3%	6.3%	9.3%
5	9006252	LOS ANGELES	5.0%	1.9%	30.0%	39.3%	60.4%	21.7%	27.4%	3.6%
5	3150040	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	5.0%	12.8%	47.5%	34.6%	68.2%	26.6%	19.2%	6.1%
5	1300025	CLAREMONT	5.0%	12.7%	37.9%	20.0%	73.0%	30.7%	29.7%	18.0%
5	9006249	LOS ANGELES	5.1%	3.8%	20.3%	46.9%	59.2%	29.1%	19.8%	5.7%
5	5150108	PASADENA	5.1%	2.7%	27.6%	11.0%	68.2%	20.7%	16.5%	9.3%
5	3200004	LA CRESCENTA	5.1%	12.7%	33.3%	33.8%	57.1%	31.0%	26.7%	8.3%
5	2550052	GLENDALE	5.1%	8.3%	43.9%	28.7%	72.6%	31.0%	20.6%	6.8%
5	6200014	SAN MARINO	5.1%	10.6%	24.3%	33.3%	75.0%	28.2%	17.0%	5.9%
5	200028	ALTADENA	5.2%	6.4%	30.2%	27.0%	65.9%	39.7%	15.3%	8.8%
5	200001	ALTADENA	5.2%	6.9%	24.7%	16.5%	62.5%	22.6%	14.7%	11.1%
5	200086	ALTADENA	5.2%	14.0%	32.0%	20.9%	57.0%	29.4%	19.9%	11.8%
5	9006419	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	7.5%	32.7%	34.3%	70.3%	18.9%	20.0%	3.3%
5	9004245	LOS ANGELES	5.2%	2.3%	34.3%	38.1%	60.5%	32.2%	19.3%	11.8%
5	5150009	PASADENA	5.2%	4.3%	20.8%	28.2%	67.8%	32.8%	18.1%	5.8%
5	6400003	SIERRA MADRE	5.3%	10.9%	39.1%	20.5%	69.4%	36.2%	12.0%	10.1%
5	6600026	SOUTH PASADENA	5.3%	6.2%	18.0%	19.8%	74.0%	27.7%	20.0%	5.9%
5	5000055	PALMDALE	5.3%	13.8%	27.7%	51.9%	63.5%	38.6%	10.0%	3.9%
5	350048	ARCADIA	5.4%	5.3%	33.3%	51.4%	60.6%	19.2%	24.7%	6.3%
5	2620001	GREEN VALLEY	5.4%	7.9%	38.2%	34.2%	62.5%	43.9%	12.7%	9.7%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	6200001	SAN MARINO	5.4%	7.3%	43.5%	19.4%	69.1%	22.9%	21.7%	9.3%
5	2550044	GLENDALE	5.4%	11.3%	47.2%	33.3%	74.1%	34.2%	27.7%	6.1%
5	3200018	LA CRESCENTA	5.4%	7.7%	25.0%	31.4%	57.6%	33.8%	14.7%	5.2%
5	1750054	DEL SUR	5.5%	13.3%	31.8%	28.6%	51.9%	35.6%	17.9%	4.1%
5	6200012	SAN MARINO	5.5%	21.2%	35.7%	41.7%	63.6%	21.2%	18.6%	9.3%
5	5150002	PASADENA	5.5%	11.8%	40.0%	36.5%	64.7%	34.4%	26.6%	11.5%
5	6600009	SOUTH PASADENA	5.5%	9.8%	27.9%	22.4%	65.6%	23.8%	17.6%	9.3%
5	6220090	SANTA CLARITA	5.5%	3.8%	33.3%	32.3%	63.0%	21.4%	13.8%	8.8%
5	90003	AGUA DULCE	5.6%	8.0%	36.4%	38.8%	50.0%	33.6%	8.3%	5.0%
5	9000033	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	8.3%	18.9%	33.9%	57.6%	23.2%	12.8%	9.4%
5	9000030	LOS ANGELES	5.6%	6.1%	30.5%	34.4%	67.4%	17.6%	18.9%	8.8%
5	1020020	CANYON COUNTRY	5.6%	19.0%	41.2%	63.2%	73.7%	41.5%	40.0%	14.6%
5	3200008	LA CRESCENTA	5.6%	10.4%	34.8%	32.9%	80.6%	30.4%	9.7%	8.1%
5	4650001	NEENACH	5.6%	14.8%	40.0%	70.4%	48.0%	39.7%	19.2%	9.1%
5	3150013	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	5.7%	11.4%	31.0%	24.3%	64.9%	28.6%	24.4%	8.2%
5	9007321	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	7.8%	30.2%	38.5%	61.0%	26.7%	18.3%	5.5%
5	5150103	PASADENA	5.7%	13.0%	29.8%	25.4%	70.3%	27.5%	20.8%	3.1%
5	9003466	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	7.0%	32.7%	41.1%	69.4%	35.5%	26.9%	11.7%
5	3750005	LLANO	5.7%	2.8%	27.6%	27.3%	64.5%	25.9%	8.8%	2.7%
5	9006246	LOS ANGELES	5.7%	16.7%	22.1%	46.3%	61.0%	27.3%	17.0%	3.7%
5	6220002	SANTA CLARITA	5.7%	6.7%	17.9%	28.9%	72.3%	28.6%	19.4%	10.4%
5	6220077	SANTA CLARITA	5.7%	9.5%	29.7%	30.8%	44.7%	28.8%	17.6%	10.1%
5	6220030	SANTA CLARITA	5.8%	6.2%	34.6%	36.5%	59.3%	32.3%	17.5%	4.0%
5	9007781	LOS ANGELES	5.8%	4.5%	28.0%	42.1%	57.6%	26.1%	19.0%	6.1%
5	200087	ALTADENA	5.8%	5.8%	33.1%	30.0%	70.5%	34.5%	17.8%	9.3%
5	350008	ARCADIA	5.8%	13.0%	29.3%	34.0%	56.1%	26.7%	16.1%	4.3%
5	6400012	SIERRA MADRE	5.8%	15.8%	27.9%	27.8%	65.1%	34.2%	14.5%	8.9%
5	950009	BURBANK	5.9%	14.3%	33.3%	31.8%	75.0%	26.5%	18.4%	9.3%
5	1300029	CLAREMONT	5.9%	3.4%	26.7%	26.2%	72.2%	24.5%	24.5%	9.2%
5	2550050	GLENDALE	5.9%	13.8%	43.9%	44.2%	75.5%	24.2%	15.5%	7.3%
5	2550020	GLENDALE	5.9%	5.2%	39.2%	26.0%	73.8%	30.3%	11.4%	5.4%
5	1150012	CHATSWORTH	5.9%	20.9%	26.0%	38.1%	57.3%	31.6%	15.3%	9.3%
5	9000026	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	5.3%	21.3%	32.5%	68.2%	25.0%	35.3%	9.3%
5	6220101	SANTA CLARITA	6.0%	10.0%	23.1%	33.3%	67.9%	25.2%	18.0%	9.3%
5	1300037	CLAREMONT	6.0%	10.0%	27.6%	31.8%	63.3%	39.1%	17.9%	10.3%
5	1300007	CLAREMONT	6.0%	7.9%	45.5%	31.3%	71.6%	33.9%	32.0%	6.3%
5	9000005	LOS ANGELES	6.0%	4.9%	12.9%	34.1%	68.8%	16.2%	16.7%	4.4%
5	5150004	PASADENA	6.0%	7.3%	36.9%	20.5%	67.4%	25.6%	22.4%	6.3%
5	3550029	LANCASTER	6.1%	10.9%	31.6%	33.3%	64.5%	32.1%	20.4%	9.3%
5	9000643	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	12.9%	29.2%	43.3%	62.1%	29.8%	15.5%	6.8%
5	90004	AGUA DULCE	6.1%	11.5%	66.7%	52.8%	51.1%	35.7%	17.5%	9.3%
5	90004	AGUA DULCE	6.1%	15.0%	27.8%	42.9%	60.0%	34.4%	16.4%	1.5%
5	9000016	LOS ANGELES	6.1%	11.1%	38.8%	38.1%	62.7%	19.4%	18.7%	5.8%
5	6220043	SANTA CLARITA	6.1%	16.4%	22.9%	30.8%	59.3%	32.1%	18.5%	12.0%
5	2550053	GLENDALE	6.1%	3.5%	30.8%	27.9%	70.3%	26.8%	26.8%	6.6%
5	3080002	KINNELOA MESA	6.1%	6.5%	29.6%	19.7%	69.1%	32.4%	20.4%	6.1%
5	2550041	GLENDALE	6.2%	7.4%	40.0%	36.4%	64.7%	38.1%	19.5%	12.2%
5	2550002	GLENDALE	6.3%	4.8%	42.4%	32.1%	68.1%	37.6%	14.3%	8.5%
5	3150026	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	6.3%	15.6%	37.5%	25.0%	78.1%	34.1%	15.9%	9.3%
5	2550027	GLENDALE	6.4%	13.4%	34.8%	39.2%	58.5%	42.6%	25.6%	9.3%
5	9006220	LOS ANGELES	6.4%	8.2%	28.0%	37.9%	70.7%	24.2%	20.7%	8.7%
5	2550157	GLENDALE	6.4%	22.9%	29.0%	27.3%	56.7%	24.5%	30.0%	10.0%
5	3200001	LA CRESCENTA	6.4%	6.5%	23.3%	31.6%	68.7%	26.0%	16.5%	8.1%
5	2600030	GLENDORA	6.4%	6.5%	23.8%	37.2%	68.1%	35.5%	15.1%	9.5%
5	9006219	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	2.9%	30.4%	27.8%	69.8%	29.6%	22.5%	3.7%
5	350013	ARCADIA	6.5%	23.8%	44.4%	47.6%	66.7%	25.5%	20.3%	7.4%
5	3550030	LANCASTER	6.5%	11.1%	21.7%	40.0%	60.0%	37.1%	10.3%	4.1%
5	9005656	LOS ANGELES	6.5%	16.3%	28.2%	33.3%	82.0%	33.3%	20.6%	9.3%
5	6220001	SANTA CLARITA	6.6%	14.3%	43.3%	41.0%	73.2%	39.3%	36.1%	10.0%
5	950141	BURBANK	6.6%	5.3%	37.9%	35.3%	58.3%	29.3%	18.4%	9.3%
5	6400004	SIERRA MADRE	6.6%	9.6%	20.0%	23.5%	72.0%	23.6%	19.5%	9.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	2600062	GLENDORA	6.6%	32.4%	30.8%	46.9%	70.0%	23.5%	12.6%	10.8%
5	5150094	PASADENA	6.6%	11.8%	35.6%	23.9%	68.0%	28.0%	20.8%	9.3%
5	5150197	PASADENA	6.6%	13.4%	37.3%	31.9%	75.4%	36.4%	27.1%	9.3%
5	2020004	EAST PASADENA	6.6%	15.7%	33.3%	34.7%	67.3%	24.6%	13.3%	9.3%
5	9000024	LOS ANGELES	6.6%	11.0%	30.9%	29.1%	68.9%	28.7%	19.8%	9.3%
5	3150060	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	6.7%	14.6%	29.7%	27.5%	58.3%	25.0%	13.3%	9.3%
5	200090	ALTADENA	6.7%	11.5%	39.5%	35.1%	72.3%	37.2%	23.0%	9.8%
5	350029	ARCADIA	6.7%	8.5%	25.6%	25.0%	60.5%	24.3%	22.9%	8.7%
5	4400008	MONROVIA	6.7%	17.2%	43.8%	44.6%	61.0%	35.9%	14.2%	9.3%
5	9004217	LOS ANGELES	6.7%	7.1%	20.0%	35.3%	59.8%	23.8%	15.3%	5.0%
5	350055	ARCADIA	6.7%	8.9%	22.2%	43.9%	61.9%	27.6%	18.4%	4.7%
5	350054	ARCADIA	6.8%	9.7%	35.6%	32.7%	58.6%	22.3%	18.4%	7.4%
5	350007	ARCADIA	6.8%	6.7%	27.6%	25.5%	76.9%	31.7%	12.9%	8.5%
5	6220059	SANTA CLARITA	6.9%	13.2%	45.5%	57.9%	62.9%	33.8%	14.8%	1.4%
5	1300012	CLAREMONT	6.9%	6.2%	37.3%	17.1%	80.9%	33.1%	28.6%	9.3%
5	6220091	SANTA CLARITA	6.9%	16.0%	21.1%	25.9%	60.0%	27.4%	10.5%	6.9%
5	9000672	LOS ANGELES	6.9%	6.2%	35.8%	38.1%	61.5%	26.7%	23.9%	9.3%
5	2550049	GLENDALE	6.9%	12.8%	36.4%	42.1%	62.9%	33.3%	17.5%	9.2%
5	9007782	LOS ANGELES	6.9%		35.3%	20.0%	58.8%	32.0%	18.4%	9.3%
5	6200003	SAN MARINO	6.9%	10.4%	40.5%	36.0%	66.0%	34.0%	14.6%	3.8%
5	1150001	CHATSWORTH	6.9%	2.4%	22.2%	39.5%	69.0%	40.0%	13.3%	9.3%
5	200091	ALTADENA	7.0%	9.3%	24.8%	29.1%	72.5%	38.1%	20.9%	12.7%
5	1750055	DEL SUR	7.0%	13.2%	34.5%	35.3%	56.3%	32.5%	14.0%	5.1%
5	5150097	PASADENA	7.0%	6.3%	38.8%	31.0%	72.2%	27.0%	22.6%	9.3%
5	9006253	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	10.3%	20.4%	45.9%	64.3%	28.7%	24.8%	5.2%
5	6220025	SANTA CLARITA	7.0%	15.0%	40.0%	10.5%	71.4%	41.0%	16.9%	4.9%
5	6220026	SANTA CLARITA	7.0%	9.6%	21.4%	41.5%	45.8%	29.4%	16.0%	3.8%
5	9006248	LOS ANGELES	7.0%	4.4%	21.1%	42.9%	55.0%	23.9%	16.3%	6.5%
5	5150193	PASADENA	7.0%	12.5%	30.0%	28.2%	72.4%	42.0%	26.5%	11.8%
5	5150112	PASADENA	7.0%	12.7%	22.0%	24.6%	76.0%	29.7%	24.6%	3.5%
5	5150095	PASADENA	7.0%	4.9%	33.3%	27.9%	77.5%	29.2%	20.5%	9.3%
5	9000022	LOS ANGELES	7.1%	5.9%	17.6%	30.3%	50.8%	26.1%	15.9%	9.3%
5	1770004	DESERT	7.1%	15.9%	44.4%	53.2%	52.4%	36.6%	10.6%	9.3%
5	950197	BURBANK	7.1%	5.6%	25.4%	29.2%	74.6%	32.8%	30.4%	4.5%
5	5150183	PASADENA	7.1%	8.7%	35.2%	22.8%	70.6%	37.1%	36.8%	9.5%
5	6400013	SIERRA MADRE	7.1%	12.0%	42.0%	25.5%	73.0%	37.0%	21.7%	9.3%
5	6220093	SANTA CLARITA	7.2%	5.9%	32.4%	24.5%	62.8%	27.2%	21.7%	8.2%
5	2550036	GLENDALE	7.2%	4.1%	51.8%	38.8%	69.8%	34.5%	26.5%	8.5%
5	6200007	SAN MARINO	7.2%	19.4%	43.8%	22.2%	62.5%	23.5%	16.0%	2.8%
5	350012	ARCADIA	7.2%	26.4%	48.9%	42.0%	58.8%	39.3%	23.6%	12.6%
5	6220021	SANTA CLARITA	7.2%	11.8%	31.7%	47.9%	54.3%	25.0%	15.7%	4.7%
5	3550023	LANCASTER	7.2%	16.9%	31.4%	40.7%	65.4%	37.3%	15.6%	5.0%
5	2550005	GLENDALE	7.3%	6.0%	17.5%	34.6%	67.3%	30.2%	18.5%	3.3%
5	350014	ARCADIA	7.3%	13.0%	33.3%	37.8%	65.2%	30.3%	19.2%	6.7%
5	950135	BURBANK	7.3%	4.3%	30.8%	44.9%	59.2%	27.2%	23.5%	1.2%
5	3680001	LEONA VALLEY	7.3%	12.5%	43.8%	32.1%	56.0%	38.3%	7.9%	4.8%
5	950150	BURBANK	7.3%	11.7%	29.4%	27.1%	73.2%	35.1%	24.4%	5.4%
5	9002969	LOS ANGELES	7.4%	9.3%	19.1%	25.7%	61.1%	25.3%	26.5%	7.1%
5	2550028	GLENDALE	7.4%	12.0%	25.0%	40.0%	78.3%	23.1%	30.0%	9.3%
5	350079	ARCADIA	7.4%	7.5%	38.9%	56.4%	66.7%	43.4%	21.4%	7.6%
5	5400057	QUARTZ HILL	7.4%	19.4%	54.5%	57.9%	78.9%	39.5%	10.7%	7.8%
5	2550163	GLENDALE	7.4%	9.1%	34.4%	18.2%	59.5%	22.9%	23.9%	4.2%
5	6300255	SAUGUS	7.4%	4.3%	20.0%	57.7%	72.0%	37.3%	23.9%	15.6%
5	9006241	LOS ANGELES	7.5%	10.3%	25.4%	26.9%	62.5%	32.7%	13.8%	5.9%
5	3550010	LANCASTER	7.5%	18.9%	29.0%	51.4%	75.7%	37.2%	11.8%	5.0%
5	1300061	CLAREMONT	7.5%	12.1%	42.0%	27.9%	76.1%	39.0%	24.4%	10.9%
5	1300020	CLAREMONT	7.5%	11.1%	39.3%	25.7%	76.5%	29.8%	30.5%	8.7%
5	6220039	SANTA CLARITA	7.5%	7.3%	34.3%	34.2%	48.8%	38.8%	23.5%	3.1%
5	2550035	GLENDALE	7.6%	8.4%	28.6%	35.2%	66.2%	39.7%	20.3%	5.7%
5	4400010	MONROVIA	7.6%	8.5%	39.1%	41.7%	69.0%	31.8%	18.7%	9.3%
5	9006247	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	13.2%	22.0%	42.9%	65.3%	29.0%	18.8%	6.5%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	6400006	SIERRA MADRE	7.6%	12.7%	31.5%	24.8%	74.0%	37.1%	15.9%	10.1%
5	2550008	GLENDALE	7.6%	10.4%	22.2%	32.2%	72.1%	36.6%	18.8%	9.3%
5	9000031	LOS ANGELES	7.6%	16.0%	42.9%	36.7%	64.3%	39.5%	32.9%	13.6%
5	3550002	LANCASTER	7.6%	14.3%	30.2%	22.6%	71.7%	36.0%	14.9%	11.3%
5	200088	ALTADENA	7.6%	12.1%	26.9%	32.5%	69.1%	30.5%	8.9%	13.0%
5	350005	ARCADIA	7.6%	17.9%	48.6%	42.1%	72.5%	32.3%	20.6%	11.5%
5	6300003	SAUGUS	7.7%	18.8%	30.8%	30.8%	61.5%	29.2%	18.4%	2.0%
5	6220023	SANTA CLARITA	7.7%	26.7%	50.0%	50.0%	70.0%	30.1%	15.4%	8.0%
5	9000023	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	8.0%	21.3%	45.6%	52.8%	30.7%	19.4%	9.0%
5	6220109	SANTA CLARITA	7.7%	6.9%	21.1%	38.5%	69.6%	27.3%	12.5%	5.1%
5	5150130	PASADENA	7.7%	4.7%	26.6%	29.7%	71.6%	21.7%	18.3%	8.0%
5	5400005	QUARTZ HILL	7.7%	16.1%	40.7%	52.5%	67.2%	37.8%	12.7%	9.3%
5	9006447	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	8.3%	31.9%	33.7%	50.7%	25.7%	24.5%	14.3%
5	9000401	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	11.1%	34.8%	41.4%	52.9%	34.3%	20.8%	15.3%
5	9006274	LOS ANGELES	7.7%	12.2%	22.8%	26.7%	65.5%	25.4%	23.5%	7.5%
5	350104	ARCADIA	7.7%	6.7%	32.4%	30.2%	60.5%	34.6%	22.1%	15.6%
5	6820003	STEVENSON RANCH	7.7%	15.9%	31.7%	31.0%	69.8%	42.6%	24.6%	8.8%
5	5400055	QUARTZ HILL	7.8%	12.0%	44.4%	70.0%	85.0%	39.0%	18.5%	3.5%
5	5000018	PALMDALE	7.8%	8.7%	38.1%	40.0%	80.5%	36.3%	18.3%	7.3%
5	3150064	LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE	7.8%	12.9%	42.9%	28.8%	74.6%	31.4%	21.2%	6.4%
5	350058	ARCADIA	7.8%	9.3%	30.8%	33.3%	72.5%	20.4%	22.4%	10.4%
5	1300014	CLAREMONT	7.8%	15.7%	41.5%	34.0%	66.3%	43.1%	29.3%	7.4%
5	2550007	GLENDALE	7.8%	5.7%	28.1%	28.8%	50.0%	38.7%	22.4%	8.5%
5	3200011	LA CRESCENTA	7.8%	10.6%	37.8%	35.6%	60.5%	26.6%	16.0%	7.1%
5	1300018	CLAREMONT	7.8%	11.1%	42.2%	33.9%	67.7%	36.5%	19.3%	9.3%
5	950198	BURBANK	7.8%	11.6%	32.9%	26.7%	69.7%	25.8%	23.6%	5.5%
5	6220011	SANTA CLARITA	7.8%	9.8%	20.0%	34.1%	68.4%	35.2%	12.5%	3.1%
5	6400011	SIERRA MADRE	7.9%	11.5%	35.3%	22.0%	60.5%	27.6%	11.1%	9.3%
5	6220054	SANTA CLARITA	7.9%	9.7%	40.9%	43.3%	46.4%	22.2%	23.0%	10.5%
5	9002828	LOS ANGELES	7.9%	12.8%	22.1%	32.1%	55.1%	27.0%	16.2%	5.2%
5	6220024	SANTA CLARITA	7.9%	8.2%	19.5%	43.8%	68.9%	33.3%	14.1%	6.1%
5	2600008	GLENDORA	7.9%	10.0%	26.9%	44.8%	63.0%	30.6%	11.9%	4.1%
5	3550003	LANCASTER	7.9%	8.6%	27.6%	51.5%	61.8%	19.0%	17.6%	9.3%
5	350046	ARCADIA	8.0%	10.4%	47.4%	41.3%	82.5%	37.3%	23.8%	9.1%
5	350030	ARCADIA	8.0%	25.7%	46.9%	45.9%	61.8%	36.4%	24.7%	7.5%
5	2550023	GLENDALE	8.0%	15.9%	36.4%	45.3%	60.3%	36.4%	15.1%	11.0%
5	1020244	CANYON COUNTRY	8.0%	19.1%	27.0%	58.1%	65.1%	31.5%	19.4%	6.5%
5	6220032	SANTA CLARITA	8.0%	12.7%	34.1%	41.1%	82.7%	34.7%	15.4%	7.4%
5	2600104	GLENDORA	8.0%	11.4%	35.7%	28.1%	58.1%	25.4%	14.2%	11.6%
5	6600002	SOUTH PASADENA	8.0%	12.4%	36.2%	32.8%	67.3%	39.9%	20.7%	9.3%
5	9006421	LOS ANGELES	8.0%	6.0%	43.4%	38.3%	61.1%	27.3%	20.5%	5.7%
5	9006251	LOS ANGELES	8.0%	16.1%	27.9%	32.3%	59.3%	21.4%	24.3%	6.2%
5	2550006	GLENDALE	8.0%	17.4%	32.7%	31.4%	66.2%	38.9%	16.2%	9.3%
5	7360002	VALENCIA	8.1%	31.8%	35.0%	28.6%	59.1%	46.2%	17.9%	13.2%
5	350026	ARCADIA	8.1%	6.5%	38.1%	47.8%	79.5%	31.3%	15.3%	7.0%
5	1300039	CLAREMONT	8.1%	17.0%	40.8%	39.8%	65.1%	39.6%	23.0%	9.3%
5	7050064	TEMPLE CITY	8.1%	12.2%	12.8%	56.0%	60.9%	39.6%	26.3%	7.4%
5	9000315	LOS ANGELES	8.1%	15.5%	24.5%	38.6%	67.9%	31.3%	19.8%	9.3%
5	6220055	SANTA CLARITA	8.1%	14.3%	52.9%	28.6%	36.8%	32.0%	5.4%	9.1%
5	9000633	LOS ANGELES	8.2%	7.5%	29.7%	25.6%	64.1%	19.5%	11.0%	6.1%
5	1300013	CLAREMONT	8.2%	25.0%	45.5%	25.0%	83.3%	35.7%	25.0%	6.7%
5	3550040	LANCASTER	8.2%	20.6%	46.7%	42.9%	58.6%	40.5%	4.0%	10.0%
5	2550151	GLENDALE	8.2%	11.4%	33.3%	30.0%	68.1%	32.5%	19.0%	9.3%
5	4400009	MONROVIA	8.2%	14.0%	39.1%	39.6%	63.9%	39.4%	15.3%	9.3%
5	2550009	GLENDALE	8.2%	16.4%	33.3%	44.8%	67.7%	30.3%	15.2%	7.8%
5	350024	ARCADIA	8.3%	26.3%	48.6%	42.1%	73.0%	36.4%	21.3%	20.7%
5	2600007	GLENDORA	8.3%	10.4%	56.1%	29.2%	65.1%	37.2%	21.3%	5.3%
5	6220058	SANTA CLARITA	8.3%	26.5%	20.0%	42.9%	67.7%	39.5%	15.3%	6.3%
5	950149	BURBANK	8.3%	5.1%	37.7%	46.6%	77.8%	23.5%	14.0%	9.3%
5	2600063	GLENDORA	8.3%	6.3%	30.0%	43.3%	55.2%	31.4%	18.5%	2.2%
5	9007311	LOS ANGELES	8.3%	14.0%	38.8%	34.7%	67.4%	34.4%	20.7%	9.3%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	3550058	LANCASTER	8.3%	10.3%	29.2%	43.3%	46.4%	34.5%	17.3%	6.8%
5	6220022	SANTA CLARITA	8.4%	7.7%	35.3%	39.5%	62.2%	26.9%	14.6%	4.9%
5	2550054	GLENDALE	8.4%	11.0%	31.7%	36.4%	74.3%	26.4%	16.1%	6.4%
5	6220008	SANTA CLARITA	8.4%	9.1%	15.4%	36.7%	62.1%	24.3%	12.0%	4.3%
5	9000641	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	9.0%	26.2%	26.8%	58.1%	28.2%	15.3%	7.0%
5	9000612	LOS ANGELES	8.4%	9.3%	30.6%	42.9%	55.6%	28.9%	20.2%	9.9%
5	6220085	SANTA CLARITA	8.5%	7.8%	27.7%	34.0%	58.0%	29.6%	12.1%	7.8%
5	200029	ALTADENA	8.5%	7.3%	27.1%	30.8%	64.6%	31.9%	25.5%	12.0%
5	6220009	SANTA CLARITA	8.6%	16.7%	26.9%	30.3%	40.6%	33.3%	13.0%	9.3%
5	2600010	GLENORA	8.6%	15.7%	39.0%	42.6%	54.8%	46.0%	24.8%	3.8%
5	4400004	MONROVIA	8.6%	23.0%	35.3%	43.1%	75.0%	35.6%	24.2%	8.2%
5	350062	ARCADIA	8.6%	18.6%	43.1%	40.3%	68.2%	39.8%	18.9%	10.1%
5	6220006	SANTA CLARITA	8.6%	18.2%	39.5%	60.4%	69.0%	28.2%	15.5%	9.8%
5	50059	ACTON	8.6%	8.1%	34.4%	51.4%	61.1%	46.6%	11.6%	9.3%
5	6220028	SANTA CLARITA	8.7%	11.4%	17.5%	43.2%	63.6%	29.2%	22.9%	4.3%
5	3200014	LA CRESCENTA	8.7%	20.0%	43.6%	34.4%	59.6%	28.5%	27.6%	5.8%
5	5150038	PASADENA	8.7%	12.3%	40.4%	40.3%	73.9%	35.0%	17.9%	9.3%
5	5150092	PASADENA	8.7%	10.9%	34.7%	26.6%	73.9%	33.9%	26.9%	9.3%
5	350038	ARCADIA	8.7%	20.0%	29.8%	45.0%	60.7%	25.8%	20.8%	8.2%
5	2550065	GLENDALE	8.7%	9.0%	35.0%	30.0%	72.4%	33.8%	24.1%	5.8%
5	5400048	QUARTZ HILL	8.7%	9.4%	22.4%	53.8%	64.2%	37.6%	11.3%	7.0%
5	9006237	LOS ANGELES	8.7%	7.9%	27.8%	31.9%	55.9%	35.5%	23.5%	9.3%
5	5400047	QUARTZ HILL	8.7%	12.5%	36.4%	56.3%	73.3%	39.6%	7.5%	9.3%
5	300002	ANTELOPE	8.7%	12.5%	21.7%	47.8%	43.5%	32.8%	8.8%	4.7%
5	6220075	SANTA CLARITA	8.7%	11.6%	37.8%	55.0%	58.5%	31.9%	18.1%	5.7%
5	6220088	SANTA CLARITA	8.7%	14.3%	26.2%	19.6%	73.3%	27.5%	10.8%	5.8%
5	6220018	SANTA CLARITA	8.7%	7.7%	21.7%	30.8%	75.0%	26.1%	17.8%	10.0%
5	2350026	FOOTHILL	8.7%	16.4%	37.0%	22.4%	69.2%	43.9%	20.5%	9.3%
5	2550067	GLENDALE	8.8%	9.0%	36.5%	36.1%	73.8%	30.9%	17.5%	9.2%
5	6220036	SANTA CLARITA	8.8%	17.1%	33.3%	42.5%	62.2%	36.5%	15.9%	5.5%
5	5150015	PASADENA	8.8%	14.0%	30.8%	22.9%	67.3%	39.1%	18.7%	14.4%
5	5150035	PASADENA	8.8%	12.5%	33.8%	31.8%	70.6%	27.8%	24.4%	6.3%
5	2550150	GLENDALE	8.8%	9.3%	32.6%	46.3%	72.4%	36.8%	18.2%	8.8%
5	1300019	CLAREMONT	8.8%	8.0%	38.7%	20.7%	77.2%	26.8%	28.0%	7.9%
5	6600022	SOUTH PASADENA	8.8%	14.8%	34.4%	21.3%	71.1%	47.1%	25.6%	11.0%
5	9007833	LOS ANGELES	8.9%	13.4%	33.8%	33.3%	64.5%	29.3%	25.9%	9.1%
5	5000082	PALMDALE	8.9%	12.1%	39.1%	31.0%	71.9%	41.0%	10.9%	3.6%
5	940005	BRADBURY	8.9%	21.7%	36.8%	52.4%	42.9%	37.1%	14.9%	9.3%
5	5150122	PASADENA	8.9%	12.7%	24.0%	22.6%	76.0%	34.3%	25.4%	9.2%
5	6220019	SANTA CLARITA	8.9%	17.9%	38.1%	34.6%	63.6%	45.0%	17.9%	10.8%
5	2550064	GLENDALE	8.9%	11.9%	36.6%	41.4%	78.0%	36.7%	30.3%	6.9%
5	5000088	PALMDALE	8.9%	20.9%	34.1%	51.2%	68.9%	34.1%	20.6%	9.3%
5	6220096	SANTA CLARITA	8.9%	18.4%	46.9%	69.7%	64.7%	55.0%	22.0%	12.7%
5	2600009	GLENORA	8.9%	7.3%	29.4%	45.0%	68.4%	31.5%	14.0%	10.3%
5	6850002	SUNLAND	9.0%	25.0%	39.4%	32.4%	61.8%	47.1%	30.0%	21.2%
5	1300021	CLAREMONT	9.0%	11.9%	27.1%	21.7%	68.6%	30.5%	33.1%	9.7%
5	9007323	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	20.4%	30.0%	50.0%	62.7%	33.0%	21.7%	13.0%
5	3550082	LANCASTER	9.0%	13.2%	61.5%	57.9%	71.8%	41.9%	21.7%	2.7%
5	9003088	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	10.3%	20.7%	40.6%	65.7%	28.8%	21.9%	9.3%
5	2550058	GLENDALE	9.0%	14.5%	49.0%	34.5%	61.8%	42.5%	19.0%	10.5%
5	6820004	STEVENSON RANCH	9.0%	6.7%	22.2%	44.2%	68.4%	35.9%	17.7%	3.4%
5	200032	ALTADENA	9.0%	5.1%	32.1%	25.2%	70.2%	33.5%	19.7%	9.3%
5	200011	ALTADENA	9.0%	16.0%	32.5%	37.4%	74.6%	31.4%	27.6%	17.7%
5	9006256	LOS ANGELES	9.0%	10.3%	27.5%	48.1%	64.9%	29.9%	24.7%	7.9%
5	950024	BURBANK	9.0%	12.9%	25.0%	41.4%	72.9%	24.8%	25.4%	1.7%
5	3550094	LANCASTER	9.0%	21.2%	44.8%	41.2%	70.0%	41.7%	17.5%	10.7%
5	5150118	PASADENA	9.1%	11.1%	40.3%	36.0%	80.6%	37.5%	25.0%	15.1%
5	9000639	LOS ANGELES	9.1%	9.3%	25.6%	27.5%	53.3%	31.8%	11.1%	10.6%
5	3550097	LANCASTER	9.1%	11.4%	44.1%	50.0%	72.7%	40.8%	17.9%	8.2%
5	2550029	GLENDALE	9.1%	15.9%	40.0%	40.3%	70.7%	33.7%	17.1%	9.3%
5	5400046	QUARTZ HILL	9.1%	4.2%	29.0%	40.6%	62.5%	26.2%	21.4%	6.9%

<u>DIST</u>	<u>Precinct</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>% Latino</u>	<u>% Fig</u>	<u>% Ort</u>	<u>% Del</u>	<u>% Bus</u>	<u>% San</u>	<u>% Gut</u>	<u>% Riv</u>
5	1300001	CLAREMONT	9.1%	5.8%	35.4%	27.3%	70.2%	33.8%	27.9%	14.1%
5	2550192	GLENDALE	9.2%	10.4%	35.0%	38.6%	64.7%	36.6%	29.0%	9.3%
5	1300026	CLAREMONT	9.2%	17.4%	39.4%	35.6%	72.2%	34.9%	22.5%	11.5%
5	5150034	PASADENA	9.2%	4.9%	38.5%	26.5%	74.3%	31.9%	29.4%	13.1%
5	3550011	LANCASTER	9.2%	22.2%	33.3%	53.8%	69.6%	40.0%	19.7%	10.8%
5	5150110	PASADENA	9.2%	13.9%	24.1%	36.1%	81.0%	37.3%	28.0%	21.3%
5	6220016	SANTA CLARITA	9.2%	17.0%	33.3%	31.4%	66.7%	32.7%	19.7%	9.1%
5	1300009	CLAREMONT	9.2%	12.5%	35.9%	20.9%	79.5%	26.2%	31.1%	9.3%
5	6400010	SIERRA MADRE	9.2%	12.3%	38.6%	38.0%	64.5%	36.0%	21.0%	10.1%
5	1250005	CITRUS	9.3%	16.7%	18.2%	18.8%	50.0%	47.9%	15.6%	9.1%
5	2550149	GLENDALE	9.3%	8.1%	26.2%	37.3%	60.7%	22.3%	24.1%	3.4%
5	2550024	GLENDALE	9.3%	13.4%	40.0%	36.1%	64.0%	35.1%	18.1%	10.1%
5	950180	BURBANK	9.3%	8.0%	27.5%	33.3%	65.5%	45.0%	19.6%	9.3%
5	5150075	PASADENA	9.3%	12.7%	38.3%	20.5%	76.1%	33.7%	29.3%	10.3%
5	2550132	GLENDALE	9.3%	14.1%	34.0%	36.8%	69.4%	33.3%	29.2%	9.3%
5	6220003	SANTA CLARITA	9.3%	5.6%	33.3%	58.8%	65.7%	38.6%	14.5%	4.7%
5	5150091	PASADENA	9.4%	16.0%	61.0%	32.7%	72.3%	31.8%	30.2%	14.5%
5	6220020	SANTA CLARITA	9.4%	10.6%	32.5%	36.7%	59.1%	33.8%	26.9%	8.1%
5	5400009	QUARTZ HILL	9.4%	15.6%	46.4%	48.3%	60.7%	28.6%	16.7%	9.3%
5	2550018	GLENDALE	9.4%	15.6%	40.0%	50.0%	62.2%	30.6%	18.0%	9.3%
5	6220118	SANTA CLARITA	9.4%	20.0%	80.0%	66.7%	45.5%	42.9%	8.3%	4.3%
5	9006232	LOS ANGELES	9.4%	6.5%	23.0%	36.5%	80.0%	28.7%	25.7%	9.7%
5	5150114	PASADENA	9.5%	11.3%	39.4%	34.2%	68.2%	40.0%	32.6%	15.4%
5	950136	BURBANK	9.5%	5.9%	48.1%	51.5%	72.7%	32.7%	14.3%	5.6%
5	6600016	SOUTH PASADENA	9.5%	13.8%	38.8%	34.1%	67.9%	41.9%	24.2%	9.3%
5	200039	ALTADENA	9.5%	11.3%	39.5%	29.6%	70.8%	31.0%	21.5%	4.7%
5	3550019	LANCASTER	9.5%	2.0%	45.7%	44.9%	65.3%	32.4%	10.2%	9.3%
5	9005645	LOS ANGELES	9.5%	20.6%	42.1%	35.7%	56.1%	31.0%	22.2%	15.8%
5	6600010	SOUTH PASADENA	9.5%	9.4%	22.9%	22.3%	70.1%	25.6%	26.7%	9.2%
5	9006268	LOS ANGELES	9.5%	11.1%	31.9%	46.3%	61.2%	16.9%	20.8%	8.5%
5	5150086	PASADENA	9.5%	6.5%	37.1%	37.5%	60.9%	26.5%	25.0%	8.8%
5	200020	ALTADENA	9.6%	10.7%	38.8%	31.9%	75.2%	42.5%	22.0%	19.4%
5	3400050	LA VERNE	9.6%	17.9%	26.9%	29.6%	53.8%	29.0%	23.0%	9.1%
5	350037	ARCADIA	9.6%	14.6%	42.9%	63.2%	61.5%	30.3%	23.9%	6.9%
5	6400007	SIERRA MADRE	9.6%	14.0%	29.9%	36.3%	69.9%	36.7%	14.7%	9.7%
5	350045	ARCADIA	9.6%	21.2%	44.8%	38.7%	78.8%	39.0%	33.9%	15.9%
5	9005667	LOS ANGELES	9.7%	10.0%	32.7%	43.9%	71.4%	41.1%	23.6%	11.7%
5	3400015	LA VERNE	9.7%	13.0%	31.3%	28.6%	67.1%	44.3%	23.4%	10.2%
5	5150090	PASADENA	9.7%	9.7%	32.7%	21.7%	59.7%	28.7%	15.6%	11.2%
5	6220053	SANTA CLARITA	9.8%	11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	54.5%	36.7%	20.2%	4.4%
5	2550017	GLENDALE	9.8%	12.5%	36.7%	47.2%	77.8%	45.8%	25.4%	7.3%
5	6400002	SIERRA MADRE	9.8%	6.2%	30.1%	28.7%	72.5%	33.6%	19.7%	9.3%
5	2040015	EAST SAN GABRIEL	9.8%	15.6%	37.5%	43.1%	75.4%	30.0%	18.5%	12.1%
5	6220113	SANTA CLARITA	9.8%	3.4%	13.0%	32.8%	67.9%	29.1%	20.7%	6.7%
5	50061	ACTON	9.8%	7.5%	62.9%	50.0%	75.7%	40.8%	10.4%	7.1%
5	6220017	SANTA CLARITA	9.9%	14.0%	31.7%	31.3%	62.5%	35.1%	28.2%	8.7%
5	3550020	LANCASTER	9.9%	5.3%	41.4%	36.1%	60.6%	35.2%	18.3%	4.2%
5	9000034	LOS ANGELES	9.9%	18.5%	42.6%	45.3%	53.4%	31.7%	22.3%	11.5%
5	6220108	SANTA CLARITA	9.9%	16.1%	18.8%	48.2%	63.6%	31.1%	16.5%	9.3%
5	3550012	LANCASTER	9.9%	14.5%	18.8%	45.3%	64.7%	32.6%	15.2%	7.1%

Table A2. Percent Vote Won by Latino Candidates in June 2006
-- Based on July 2002 LACCEA Alternative Districting Map --
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

LACCEA Supervisor District # 1		
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Figueroa *	38.8%	
Ortiz	57.5%	
Delgadillo	68.1%	
Bustamante	85.1%	
Sanchez	60.8%	
Gutierrez +	46.7%	
Rivas Hamar +	33.5%	
LACCEA Supervisor District # 2		
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Figueroa *	24.7%	
Ortiz	33.1%	
Delgadillo	53.5%	
Bustamante	78.3%	
Sanchez	36.7%	
Gutierrez +	25.5%	
Rivas Hamar +	16.6%	
LACCEA Supervisor District # 3		
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Figueroa *	28.6%	
Ortiz	54.1%	
Delgadillo	57.2%	
Bustamante	71.2%	
Sanchez	50.1%	
Gutierrez +	40.0%	
Rivas Hamar +	22.8%	
LACCEA Supervisor District # 4		
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Figueroa *	12.5%	
Ortiz	27.5%	
Delgadillo	35.3%	
Bustamante	68.0%	
Sanchez	31.7%	
Gutierrez +	20.9%	
Rivas Hamar +	10.1%	
LACCEA Supervisor District # 5		
<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Figueroa *	19.3%	
Ortiz	40.2%	
Delgadillo	45.5%	
Bustamante	68.6%	
Sanchez	38.7%	
Gutierrez +	26.7%	
Rivas Hamar +	14.6%	

Table A3. Placement of Latino Candidates in June 2006 Election
-- Based on July 2002 LACCEA Alternative Districting Map --
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

LACCEA Supervisor District # 1			LACCEA Supervisor District # 2		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	39%	Figueroa	25%	
	Garamendi	41%	Garamendi	53%	
	Speier	19%	Speier	21%	
Sec. State	Ortiz	58%	Ortiz	33%	
	Bowen	42%	Bowen	67%	
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	68%	Delgadillo	54%	
	Brown	32%	Brown	46%	
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	85%	Bustamante	78%	
	Kraft	15%	Kraft	22%	
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	61%	Sanchez	37%	
	Friedenthal	20%	Friedenthal	40%	
	Henry	19%	Henry	23%	
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	47%	Gutierrez	26%	
	Crawford	8%	Crawford	9%	
	Feldman	6%	Feldman	6%	
	Loomis	7%	Loomis	6%	
	Mitchell	9%	Mitchell	10%	
	Nixon	23%	Nixon	43%	
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	34%	Rivas Hamar	17%	
	Barquist	12%	Barquist	11%	
	Beecher	9%	Beecher	8%	
	Hammock	9%	Hammock	7%	
	Layton	4%	Layton	5%	
	Nison	13%	Nison	17%	
	Stuart	19%	Stuart	35%	

LACCEA Supervisor District # 3			LACCEA Supervisor District # 4		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>	
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	29%	Figueroa	13%	
	Garamendi	53%	Garamendi	57%	
	Speier	18%	Speier	30%	
Sec. State	Ortiz	54%	Ortiz	28%	
	Bowen	46%	Bowen	72%	
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	57%	Delgadillo	35%	
	Brown	43%	Brown	65%	
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	71%	Bustamante	68%	
	Kraft	29%	Kraft	32%	
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	50%	Sanchez	32%	
	Friedenthal	24%	Friedenthal	28%	
	Henry	26%	Henry	40%	
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	40%	Gutierrez	21%	
	Crawford	11%	Crawford	14%	
	Feldman	5%	Feldman	5%	
	Loomis	7%	Loomis	7%	
	Mitchell	9%	Mitchell	15%	
	Nixon	28%	Nixon	38%	
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	23%	Rivas Hamar	10%	
	Barquist	13%	Barquist	11%	
	Beecher	8%	Beecher	9%	
	Hammock	11%	Hammock	11%	
	Layton	4%	Layton	5%	
	Nison	17%	Nison	26%	
	Stuart	24%	Stuart	28%	

Table A3. Placement of Latino Candidates in June 2006 Election
-- Based on July 2002 LACCEA Alternative Districting Map --
[Sorted by L.A. County Supervisor Districts]

LACCEA Supervisor District # 5		
<u>Election</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	<u>% Won</u>
Lt. Gov	Figueroa	19%
	Garamendi	55%
	Speier	26%
Sec. State	Ortiz	40%
	Bowen	60%
Att. Gen	Delgadillo	46%
	Brown	54%
Ins. Com.	Bustamante	69%
	Kraft	31%
Justice Pos. 8	Sanchez	39%
	Friedenthal	30%
	Henry	31%
Justice Pos. 18	Gutierrez	27%
	Crawford	13%
	Feldman	5%
	Loomis	8%
	Mitchell	10%
	Nixon	37%
Justice Pos. 144	Rivas Hamar	15%
	Barquist	13%
	Beecher	8%
	Hammock	12%
	Layton	4%
	Nison	20%
	Stuart	28%